1 2 3 4 5	LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO Dale K. Galipo, Esq. (Bar No. 144074) dalekgalipo@yahoo.com Hang D. Le, Esq. (Bar No. 293450) hlee@galipolaw.com 21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310 Woodland Hills, California, 91367 Telephone: (818) 347-3333 Facsimile: (818) 347-4118	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs L.C., I.H., A.L., and Antonia Salas Ubalde	0
7		
8		RICT COURT FOR THE
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	L.C., a minor by and through her	Case No. 5:22-cv-00949-KK-SHK
12	guardian <i>ad litem</i> Maria Cadena, individually and as successor-in-interest	Honorable Kenly Kiya Kato
13	to Hector Puga; I.H., a minor by and through his guardian <i>ad litem</i> Jasmine	JOINT EXHIBIT STIPULATON
14	Hernandez, individually and as	
15	successor-in-interest to Hector Puga; A.L., a minor by and through her	
16	guardian <i>ad litem</i> Lydia Lopez, individually and as successor-in-interest	
17	to Hector Puga; and ANTONIA SALAS	
18	UBALDO, individually;	
19	Plaintiffs,	
20	VS.	
21	STATE OF CALIFORNIA; COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO; S.S.C., a	
22	nominal defendant; ISAIAH KEE;	
23	MICHAEL BLACKWOOD; BERNARDO RUBALCAVA; ROBERT	
24	VACCARI; JAKE ADAMS; and DOES	
25	6-10, inclusive,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		

TO THE HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

3	Pursuant to the Court's Civil	Trial Scheduling Order, Plaintiffs L.C., a minor		
4	by and through her guardian <i>ad litem</i> Maria Cadena; I.H., a minor by and through			
5	his guardian ad litem Jasmine Herna	andez; A.L., a minor by and through her		
6	guardian ad litem Lydia Lopez; and	Antonia Salas Ubaldo ("Plaintiffs") and		
7	Defendants State of California, by a	nd through the California Highway Patrol,		
8	Michael Blackwood, Isaiah Kee, and	d Bernardo Rubalcava ("State Defendants"),		
9	(collectively "the Parties"), hereby s	submit this Joint Exhibit Stipulation for the June		
10	2, 2025 trial.			
11	DATED: May 8, 2025	LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO		
12				
13		By/s/ Hang D. Le		
14		Dale K. Galipo Hang D. Le		
15		Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
16				
17				
18	DATED: May 8, 2025	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		
19		NORMAN D. MORRISON Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
20				
21		/s/ Diana Esquivel*		
22		DIANA ESQUIVEL Deputy Attorney General		
23		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant State of Cal., by and through the CHP, Blackwood, Kee, and		
24		Rubalcava		
25	*The filer, Hang D. Le, hereby attes	ts that all other signatories listed, and on whose		
26		r with the filing's content and have authorized		
27	the filing.	_		
28				

JOINT EXHIBIT STIPULATION

2	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
3	No.		<u> </u>	to
4				Authenticity and
5				Foundation
6	***	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT LIST	***	***
7	1.	Part 4 of MVARS Video from	None.	Yes.
8		Michael Blackwood's Patrol Unit (COSB0001465)		
9	2.	Part 2 of MVARS Video from	None.	Yes.
10		Isaiah Kee's Patrol Unit (COSB009200)		
11	3.	Cellphone Video of Incident from	None.	Yes.
12 13		Witness Erin Mangerino (COSB001470)		
	4.	Cellphone Video of Incident from	None.	Yes.
14 15		Witness Betzabeth Gonzalez (Plaintiff 0241)		
16	5.	Cellphone Video of Incident from	None.	Yes.
17		Witness Betzabeth Gonzalez (Plaintiff 0256)		
18	6.	Cellphone Video of Incident from	None.	Yes.
19		Witness Betzabeth Gonzalez (Plaintiff 0257)		
20	7.	Cellphone Video of Incident from	None.	Yes.
21 22		Witness Betzabeth Gonzalez (Plaintiff 0258)		
23	8.	Cellphone Clip of Incident from Witness Edward Mangerino	None.	Yes.
24		(COSB001453)		
25	9.	Cellphone Clip of Incident from	None.	Yes.
26		Witness Edward Mangerino (COSB001454)		
27		(000001101)		

1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2 3	1100			Authenticity
3 4				and Foundation
5	10.	Cellphone Clip of Incident from Witness Edward Mangerino (COSB001455)	None.	Yes.
7 8	11.	Cellphone Clip of Incident from Witness Edward Mangerino (COSB001456)	None.	Yes.
9 10 11	12.	Cellphone Clip of Incident from Witness Edward Mangerino (COSB001457)	None.	Yes.
12 13	13.	Cellphone Clip of Incident from Witness Edward Mangerino (COSB001458)	None.	Yes.
14 15	14.	Cellphone Clip of Incident from Witness Edward Mangerino (COSB001459)	None.	Yes.
16 17	15.	Cellphone Clip of Incident from Witness Edward Mangerino (COSB001460)	None.	Yes.
18 19 20	16.	Cellphone Clip of Incident from Witness Edward Mangerino (COSB001461)	None.	Yes.
21 22 23	17.	Plaintiffs' Expert Matthew Kimmins' Puga Compilation Video	Lacks foundation, calls for speculation, and improper expert opinion. Fed. R. Evid. 702. <i>See</i> Defs.' Mot.	No.
24			In Limine No. 1.	
252627	18.	Plaintiffs' Expert Matthew Kimmins' Post Fall Bullet Count Video	Lacks foundation, calls for speculation, and improper expert opinion. Fed. R. Evid.	No.

	T 1014		011 /1 /1	
$1 \parallel$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	110.			Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			702. <i>See</i> Defs.' Mot.	
5			In Limine No. 1.	
6	19.	Audio Recording of Interview of	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
7		Isaiah Kee (COSB001350)	cumulative, waste	admissible.
8			time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802,	
9			805.	
10	20.	Audio Recording of Interview of Isaiah Kee (COSB001373)	Relevance, cumulative, waste	Yes if deemed admissible.
11			time, and hearsay. Fed.	delinissioie.
12			R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	
13	21.	Audio Recording of Interview of	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
14	21.	Isaiah Kee (COSB001374)	cumulative, waste	admissible.
15			time, and hearsay. Fed.	
16			R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	
17	22.	Audio Recording of Interview of	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
18		Isaiah Kee (COSB001375)	cumulative, waste	admissible.
19			time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802,	
20			805.	
21	23.	Report and Transcription of Interview of Isaiah Kee	Relevance,	No.
22		(COSB000648-729)	cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and	
23			lacks foundation and	
24			authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602,	
25			802, 805, 901	
26	24.	Audio Recording of Interview of	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
27		Bernardo Rubalcava (COSB001353)	cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed.	admissible.
28				

-4-

<u>).</u>	Audio Recording of Interview of Bernardo Rubalcava (COSB001354)	R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. Relevance, cumulative, waste	Stipulation to Authenticity and Foundation Yes if deemed
	Bernardo Rubalcava	Relevance, cumulative, waste	Foundation Yes if deemed
	Bernardo Rubalcava	Relevance, cumulative, waste	
	Bernardo Rubalcava	cumulative, waste	
		time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	admissible.
]	Audio Recording of Interview of Bernardo Rubalcava (COSB001370)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	Yes if deemed admissible.
	Audio Recording of Interview of Bernardo Rubalcava (COSB001371)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	Yes if deemed admissible.
	Audio Recording of Interview of Bernardo Rubalcava (COSB001372)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	Yes if deemed admissible.
	Report and Transcription of Interview of Bernardo Rubalcava (COSB000396-540)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901	
	Michael Blackwood	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed.	Yes if deemed admissible.
		Audio Recording of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB001351)	authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901 Audio Recording of Interview of Michael Blackwood Relevance, cumulative, waste

No. No. No. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. Relevance, cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance, Yes Relevance, Relevance, cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901.	Stipulation to
R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. 31. Audio Recording of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB001355) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. 32. Report and Transcription of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB000542-646) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. 33. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance,	A 11 1 1 1 1
R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. 31. Audio Recording of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB001355) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. Report and Transcription of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB000542-646) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance, Yes Relevance, Relevance, Revid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901.	Authenticity and
R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. 31. Audio Recording of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB001355) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. Report and Transcription of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB000542-646) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance, Yes and Supplies the property of Relevance, Supplies the Property of Rel	Foundation
Michael Blackwood (COSB001355) Report and Transcription of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB000542-646) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. Report and Transcription of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB000542-646) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. Addio Recording of Interview of Relevance, Yellow State of Relevance, Proceedings of Interview of Relevance, Proceedings of Interv	
(COSB001355) time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. Report and Transcription of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB000542-646) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance,	Yes if deemed
R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805. Report and Transcription of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB000542-646) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance, Y	admissible.
Report and Transcription of Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB000542-646) Relevance, cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance, Y.	
Interview of Michael Blackwood (COSB000542-646) COSB000542-646) Cumulative, waste time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance,	
(COSB000542-646) time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. 33. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance, Y	No.
13 authenticity. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. 15 33. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance, Y	
Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901. 33. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance, Y	
33. Audio Recording of Interview of Relevance, Y	
	Yes if deemed admissible.
time, and hearsay. Fed.	
17 R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	
18	No.
19 Interview of Jake Adams cumulative, waste	
20 (COSB000309-394) time, hearsay, and lacks foundation and	
authenticity. Fed. R.	
22 Evid. 401-403, 602, 802, 805, 901.	
	Yes if deemed
Robert Vaccari (COSB001352) cumulative, waste	admissible.
25 time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802,	
26 805.	
Pohort Voccori (COSP001276) aumulativa wasta a	Yes if deemed
Robert Vaccari (COSB001376) cumulative, waste ac	admissible.

-6-

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
$\frac{1}{2}$	No.	<u> </u>		<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	
7	37.	Audio Recording of Interview of	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
8		Robert Vaccari (COSB001398)	cumulative, waste	admissible.
9			time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	
10	38.	Audio Recording of Interview of	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
11	20.	Robert Vaccari (COSB001399)	cumulative, waste	admissible.
12			time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802,	
13			805.	
14	39.	Audio Recording of Interview of	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
15		Robert Vaccari (COSB001400)	cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed.	admissible.
16			R. Evid. 401-403, 802,	
17			805.	
18	40.	Report and Transcription of Interview of Robert Vaccari	Relevance, cumulative, waste	No.
19		(COSB000214-307)	time, hearsay, and	
20			lacks foundation and authenticity. Fed. R.	
21			Evid. 401-403, 602,	
22			802, 805, 901.	
23	41.	Audio Recording of Interview of Wendy Mangerino (COSB001356)	Relevance,	Yes if deemed admissible.
24		welluy mangerino (COSDU01330)	cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed.	aumissible.
25			R. Evid. 401-403, 802,	
26	42	Andia Dagardina - f Interniore - f	805	Vas if days 1
27	42.	Audio Recording of Interview of Erin Mangerino (COSB009190)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed.	Yes if deemed admissible.
28			· ·	

1	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	1100			Authenticity
3 4				and Foundation
5			R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805	
6 7 8 9	43.	Audio Recording of Tammy Goodson (COSB001413)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805	Yes if deemed admissible.
10 11 12 13	44.	Audio Recording of Interview of Tammy Goodson (COSB001414)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805	Yes if deemed admissible.
14 15 16 17	45.	Audio Recording of Interview of Jonathan Wayne Botten, Sr. (COSB1387)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, more prejudicial than probative, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	Yes if deemed admissible.
18 19 20 21	46.	Audio Recording of Interview of Jonathan Wayne Botten, Sr. (COSB1388)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, more prejudicial than probative, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	Yes if deemed admissible.
22232425	47.	Audio Recording of Interview of Jonathan Wayne Botten, Sr. (COSB1389)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, more prejudicial than probative, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	Yes if deemed admissible.
262728	48.	Audio Recording of Interview of Jonathan Wayne Botten, Sr. (COSB1390)	Relevance, cumulative, waste time, more prejudicial	Yes if deemed admissible.

-8

	T 10104	D	O1: // /P	G.1. 1.41
$1 \parallel$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	1100			Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5			than probative, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 802, 805.	
7	49.	Audio Recording of Interview of	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
8		Jonathan Wayne Botten, Sr.	cumulative, waste	admissible.
		(COSB1391)	time, more prejudicial	
9			than probative, and hearsay. Fed. R. Evid.	
10			401-403, 802, 805.	
11	50.	Selected Scene Photographs	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
12		(COSB001472, 1477, 1478, 1482,	cumulative, waste	admissible.
13		1487, 1489, 1492, 1493, 1498, 1499, 1500, 1557, 1558, 1561,	time, and more prejudicial than	
14		1562, 1571, 1692, 1838, 1839,	probative. Fed. R.	
15		1840, 1843, 1846, 1847, 1852,	Evid. 401-403.	
16		1854, 1877, 1878, 1883, 1985, 2090, 2093, 2095, 2098, 2099,		
		2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2108,		
17		2111, 2113, 2115, 2119, 2122,		
18		2126, 2128, 2134, 2135, 2137, 2139, 2141, 2143, 2146, 2148,		
19		2152, 2227, 2232, 2349, 2350,		
20		2352, 2353, 2354, 4238, 2439,		
21		2440; AG0886-935, 966-985)		
22	51.	Selected Aerial Photographs of the Scene (COSB004198, 4199, 4208,	Relevance, cumulative, waste	Yes if deemed admissible.
23		4209, 4217, 4220, 4222, 4269,	time, and more	admissible.
24		4272, 4273, 4276, 4277, 4278,	prejudicial than	
		4285, 4286), 6734, 6735, 8427, 8727, 8728; AG0674-680)	probative. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403.	
25	52.	Selected Photographs from	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
26	54.	Autopsy of Hector Puga	cumulative, waste	admissible.
27		(COSB002671 [redacted], 2688	time, and more	
28		[redacted], 2694, 2697 [redacted],	prejudicial than	

-9.

	T 1111		011 /1 /2	G41 - 1 - 1
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4		2698, 2702, 2703 [redacted], 2704	probative. Fed. R.	1 0411441011
5		[redacted], 2709, 2733 [redacted],	Evid. 401-403.	
6		2737, 2738, 2770, 2771, 2775,	(Objections made to	
7		2776, 2780, 2781, 2811, 2812, 2900, 2901)	preserve them but parties may have	
8		2900, 2901)	reached agreement.)	
9	53.	Photographs of Isaiah Kee taken	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
10		after Incident (COSB004165-4168; COSB004257-4258; AG0531-536,	cumulative, waste time, and more	admissible.
11		549-554)	prejudicial than probative. Fed. R.	
12			Evid. 401-403.	
13	54.	Photographs of Bernardo	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
14		Rubalcava and weapons taken after	cumulative, waste	admissible.
15		Incident (COSB004116-41201 AG0466-505)	time, and more	
16		AG0400-303)	prejudicial than probative. Fed. R.	
17			Evid. 401-403.	
18	55.	Photographs of Michael Blackwood taken after Incident (COSB004143,	Relevance, cumulative, waste	Yes if deemed admissible.
19		4144, 4253-4256; AG0506-510,	time, and more	dellissioie.
20		521-520)	prejudicial than	
21			probative. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403.	
22	56.	Photographs of Jake Adams taken	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
23		after Incident (COSB004088, 4115, 4142, 4169, 4223, 4224)	cumulative, waste time, and more	admissible.
24		1112, 1102, 1223, 7227)	prejudicial than	
25			probative. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403.	
26	57.	Photographs of Robert Vaccari	Relevance,	Yes if deemed
27		taken after Incident (COSB004084-4087, 4227)	cumulative, waste time, and more	admissible.
28				

-10

1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	110.			Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5 6			prejudicial than probative. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403.	
7	58.	MAIT Supplemental Physical	None.	Yes.
8		Evidence Identification and Scene Diagram (AG095-0125)		
9	59.	Scene Investigation Report by	None if Det. Ripley	Yes if deemed
10		Detective Robert Ripley (COSB000103-186)	testifies, otherwise lacks foundation, calls	admissible.
11 12			for expert opinion, hearsay, and lacks	
13			authentication. Fed. R. Evid. 602, 702, 802,	
14			901.	
15	60.	San Bernardino County Sheriff's	None if Dr. Jong	Yes if deemed
16		Department Autopsy Protocol of Hector Puga (COSB000834-848)	testifies, otherwise lacks foundation, calls	admissible.
17		1100011 ugu (0000001 010)	for expert opinion,	
18			hearsay, and lacks authentication. Fed. R.	
19			Evid. 602, 702, 802,	
20	61		901.	
21	61.	Family Photographs with Hector Puga (Pltf 0001-0039)	Relevance, cumulative, waste	No.
22		,	time, more prejudicial	
23			than probative, and lacks foundation and	
24			authentication. Fed. R.	
25			Evid. 401-403, 602, and 901.	
26	62.	Funeral and Burial Expenses (Plft	Relevance, more	No.
27		0040-0043)	prejudicial than probative, and lacks	
28			The state of the s	

$1 \parallel$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
4				Foundation
5			foundation and authentication. Fed. R.	
6			Evid. 401-403, 602,	
7			and 901.	
8	63.	Photographs of Items Bought for	Relevance,	No.
9		Plaintiff A.L. by Hector Puga (Pltf 0061-62)	cumulative, waste time, more prejudicial	
10		0001 02)	than probative, and	
			lacks foundation and authentication. Fed. R.	
11			Evid. 401-403, 602,	
12			and 901.	
13	64.	Paystubs for Hector J. Puga (Pltf	Relevance,	No.
14		0199-202)	cumulative, waste time, more prejudicial	
15			than probative, and	
16			lacks foundation and	
17			authentication. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602,	
18			and 901.	
19	65.	Hector Puga Facebook Post re	Relevance,	No.
20		Plaintiff L.C. (Pltf 0229)	cumulative, waste time, more prejudicial	
21			than probative, and	
22			lacks foundation and	
23			authentication. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602,	
24			and 901.	
25	66.	Hector Puga Facebook Post re	Relevance,	No.
		Plaintiff A.L. (Pltf 0230-232)	cumulative, waste time, more prejudicial	
26			than probative, and	
27			lacks foundation and	
28			authentication. Fed. R.	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and Foundation
$4 \parallel$			Evid. 401-403, 602,	<u>r oundation</u>
5			and 901.	
6 7	67.	Hector Puga Facebook Post re Plaintiff I.H. (0233-236)	Relevance, cumulative, waste	No.
8		1 mmm 1.11. (0233-230)	time, more prejudicial	
9			than probative, and lacks foundation and	
10			authentication. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602,	
11			and 901.	
12	68.	Hector Puga Facebook Post re Lidia Lopez (Pltf 0237-240)	Relevance, cumulative, waste	No.
13			time, more prejudicial	
14 15			than probative, and lacks foundation and	
16			authentication. Fed. R. Evid. 401-403, 602,	
17			and 901.	
18	69.	LabCorp DNA Testing of Plaintiffs L.C., I.H., and A.L. (Plaintiff 0243-	Calls for expert opinion, hearsay, and	No.
19		245)	lacks foundation and	
20			authentication. Fed. R. Evid. 602, 702, 802,	
21			901.	
22	70.	Video of Hector Puga FaceTiming with Plaintiff A.L. (Plaintiff 0255)	Relevance, cumulative, waste	No.
23		(2 minute 2	time, more prejudicial	
24			than probative, and lacks foundation and	
25			authentication. Fed. R.	
26			Evid. 401-403, 602, and 901.	
27				

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
$_{2}\Vert$	No.			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
$_{4}\Vert$				Foundation
5	71.	Black and White Surveillance	Relevance,	No.
6		Video (PLTFS 00345)	cumulative, waste time, more prejudicial	
7			than probative, lacks	
8			foundation and authentication, and	
9			untimely disclosure without substantial	
10			justification. Fed. R.	
11			Evid. 401-403, 602,	
12			and 901; Fed. R. Civ. P. 37.	
13	72.	Exhibits 72-100 reserved for		
14	ata ata ata	Plaintiffs	districts	aladada
15	***	COUNTY DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT LIST	***	***
16	101.	AUDIO: Interview of Christina Barrett	Plaintiffs'	
17		[COSB001346]	Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402	
18			- Relevance,	
19			probative value outweighed by	
20			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
21			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
22	102.	AUDIO: Interview of Christina	Plaintiffs'	
23	102.	Barrett [COSB001347]	Objections:	
24		[2 2 3 2 0 2 2]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402	
25			Relevance,probative value	
26			outweighed by	
27			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
28				

1 /

1	Evhibit	Description	Objection/Pegnange	Stimulation
$\frac{1}{2}$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			Hearsay for which no	<u>Foundation</u>
5			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
6	103.	AUDIO: Interview of Engineer Paramedic Jeremy Pendergraft,	Plaintiffs'	
7		Paramedic Jeremy Pendergraft, responded to Puga and witnessed gun next to him	Objections:	
8		gun next to him [COSB001349]	Fed. R. Evid. 801, 802	
9			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
10	104.	AUDIO: Interview of Sergeant	Plaintiffs'	
11		Isaiah Kee [COSB001350]	Objections:	
12			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402	
13			Probative valueoutweighed by	
14			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
15			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
16	105.	AUDIO: Interview of Officer	Plaintiffs'	
17		Michael Blackwood [COSB001351]	Objections:	
18		[Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
19			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
20			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
21			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
22	106.	AUDIO: Interview of Sergeant	Plaintiffs'	
		AUDIO: Interview of Sergeant Robert Vaccari [COSB001352]	Objections:	
23		[CODD001332]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
24			402, 403 – Probative	
25			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
26			Hearsay for which no	
27			exception applies.	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5	107.	AUDIO: Interview of CHP Officer Bernardo Rubalcava	Plaintiffs'	
6		[COSB001353]	Objections:	
7			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
8			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
9			Hearsay for which no	
10			exception applies.	
11	108.	AUDIO: Interview of CHP Officer Bernardo Rubalcava	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
12		[COSB001354]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
13			402, 403 – Probative	
14			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
15			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
16	109.	AUDIO: Interview of CHP	Plaintiffs'	
17		Officer Michael Blackwood [COSB001355]	Objections :	
18			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
19			value outweighed by	
20			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
21			exception applies.	
22	110.	AUDIO: 12:44 Annabelle Botten's 911 call ref suspect with	Plaintiffs'	
23		gun [[COSB001364]	Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
24			402, 403 – Relevance	
25			– information not known to officers at	
2627			the time of the	
			incident; Probative value outweighed by	
28			varue outweighted by	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
	No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	to
2				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4				Foundation
5			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
6			exception applies.	
7	111.	AUDIO: CHP asking for back up	Plaintiffs'	
8		[COSB001365]	Objections :	
9			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
10			402, 403 – Relevance, probative value	
11			outweighed by	
			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
12			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
13	112.	AUDIO: 911 CALL, Called refers	Plaintiffs'	
14		to man with gun [COSB001367]	Objections :	
15		[0002001307]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
16			402, 403 – Relevance – information not	
17			known to officers at	
18			the time of the	
19			incident; Probative value outweighed by	
20			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
			Hearsay for which no	
21			exception applies.	
22	113.	AUDIO: 911 CALL, Fwy shooting	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> Objections:	
23		shooting [COSB001368]		
24			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance	
25			information not	
26			known to officers at	
27			the time of the incident; Probative	
28			value outweighed by	

$_{1}\Vert$	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.	Description	Objection/ Response	to
3				Authenticity
$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$				and Foundation
5			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
6			exception applies.	
7	114.	AUDIO: Interview of Office Rubalcava	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
8		[COSB001370]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
9			402, 403 – Probative	
10			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
11			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
12	115.	AUDIO: Interview of Office	Plaintiffs'	
13		Rubalcava [COSB001371]	Objections:	
14			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
15			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
16 17			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
18			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
19	116.	AUDIO: Interview of Office Rubalcava	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
20		[COSB001372]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
21			402, 403 – Probative	
22			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
23			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
24	117.	AUDIO: Interview of Officer Kee	Plaintiffs'	
25		[COSB001373]	Objections:	
26			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
27			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
28				1

1 Q

1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3 4				and Foundation
5			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
7	118.	AUDIO: Interview of Officer Kee [COSB001374]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
8 9			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
10			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
11 12			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
13	119.	AUDIO: Interview of Officer Kee [COSB001375]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
14 15			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
161718			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
19	120.	AUDIO: Interview of Sergeant Robert Vaccari	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
20		[COSB001376]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
21			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
22			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
23 24			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
25	121.	AUDIO: Interview of Andrew Walk, arrived to Puga within one	Plaintiffs'	
26		minute of shots and Puga not alive [COSB001377]	Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
27 28		£	402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			to Authenticity
3				and Foundation
5			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
6			exception applies.	
7 8	122.	AUDIO: Interview of Annabelle Botten [COSB001378]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
9		[COSD001370]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
10			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
11			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
12			exception applies.	
13	123.	AUDIO: Interview of Annabelle Botten [COSB001379]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
14 15		[COSB001379]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
16			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
17			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
18		ALIDIO I	exception applies.	
19	124.	AUDIO: Interview of Greg Hanrahan, Tactical Flight Officer, Detective	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
20		[COSB001382]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
21			402, 403 – Relevance; misleading/confusion	
22 23			of issues; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
24			exception applies; 901 – authenticity and	
25			foundation has not	
26	125.	AUDIO: Interview of Edward	been established. Plaintiffs'	
27 28	123.	Mangerino [COSB001383]	Objections:	

-20-

1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
			402, 403 – Probative	
6			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
7			Hearsay for which no	
8			exception applies.	
9	126.	AUDIO: Interview of Gabriela Salas	Plaintiffs'	
10		[COSB001384]	Objections:	
11			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance	
12			re information	
13			contained in interview	
			that was not known to the officers at the time	
14			of the incident;	
15			Probative value	
16			outweighed by	
17			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
18			exception applies; 901	
19			– authenticity and	
			foundation has not been established.	
20	127.	AUDIO: Interview of Gabriela	Plaintiffs'	
21	127.	Salas	Objections:	
22		[COSB001385]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
23			402, 403 – Relevance	
24			re information	
25			contained in interview that was not known to	
26			the officers at the time	
27			of the incident;	
			Probative value outweighed by	
28			outweighted by	

1	Fh:h:4	Dogowinstian	Objection/Degrange	Ctimulation
1	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	1100			Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
6			exception applies; 901	
7			– authenticity and	
8			foundation has not been established.	
	128.	AUDIO: Interview of Michael	Plaintiffs'	
9	120.	Doucette, arrived with Walk and arrived to Puga within one minute	Objections:	
10		of shots and Puga not alive	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
11		[COSB001394]	402, 403 – Relevance;	
12			misleading/confusion of issues; 801, 802 –	
13			Hearsay for which no	
14			exception applies; 901	
15			authenticity and foundation has not	
16			been established.	
17	129.	AUDIO: Interview of Kevin Henry, SBCSD pilot	Plaintiffs'	
18		[COSB001395]	Objections:	
19			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
20			misleading/confusion	
21			of issues; 801, 802 –	
22			Hearsay for which no exception applies; 901	
23			 authenticity and 	
24			foundation has not been established.	
25	130.	AUDIO: Salas Vehicle Release	Plaintiffs'	
		[COSB001396]	Objections :	
26			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
27			402, 403 – Relevance; misleading/confusion	
28			misicaumg/comusion	

1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
4				Foundation
5			of issues; 801, 802 –	
6			Hearsay for which no exception applies; 901	
			- authenticity and	
7			foundation has not	
8			been established.	
9	131.	AUDIO: Salas Vehicle Release	Plaintiffs'	
10		[COSB001397]	Objections :	
11			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
12			402, 403 – Relevance; misleading/confusion	
			of issues; 801, 802 –	
13			Hearsay for which no	
14			exception applies; 901	
15			authenticity and foundation has not	
16			been established.	
17	132.	AUDIO: Interview of Sgt Robert	Plaintiffs'	
		Vaccari [COSB001398	Objections :	
18		[6002001370	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
19			402, 403 – Probative	
20			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
21			Hearsay for which no	
22			exception applies.	
23	133.	AUDIO: Interview of Sgt Robert	Plaintiffs'	
		Vaccari [COSB001399]	Objections :	
24			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
25			402, 403 – Probative	
26			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
27			Hearsay for which no	
28			exception applies.	
20			1	

1	T1-21-24	Donovintion	Ohio dia / Damana	C4:1-4:
1	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	1100			Authenticity
3				and
4		AND A COLD I		Foundation
5	134.	AUDIO: Interview of Sgt Robert Vaccari	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
6		[COSB001400]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
7			402, 403 – Probative	
8			value outweighed by	
9			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
			exception applies.	
10	135.	AUDIO: Jake Adams belt	Plaintiffs'	
11		recording [COSB001401]	Objections :	
12			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
13			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
14			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
15			Hearsay for which no	
16	126	AUDIO, Cat. Warrani 1, alt	exception applies.	
17	136.	AUDIO: Sgt. Vaccari belt [COSB001402]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
18			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
19			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
20			prejudice, cumulative;	
21			801, 802 – Hearsay for	
22			which no exception applies.	
23	137.	AUDIO: Sgt. Vaccari belt	Plaintiffs'	
24		[COSB001403]	Objections:	
25			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
26			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
27			prejudice, cumulative; 801, 802 – Hearsay for	
28			,	

-24-

$_{1}\ $	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			to
3				Authenticity and
$_{4}\Vert$				Foundation
5			which no exception applies.	
6	138.	AUDIO: Jake Adams	Plaintiffs'	
7		[COSB001412]	Objections:	
8			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
9			value outweighed by	
10			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
11			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
12	139.	AUDIO: Interview of Tammy Goodson	Plaintiffs'	
13		[COSB001413]	Objections:	
14			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
15			value outweighed by	
16			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
17			exception applies.	
18	140.	AUDIO: Interview of Tammy Goodson	Plaintiffs'	
19		[COSB001414]	Objections:	
20			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
21			value outweighed by	
22			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
23			exception applies.	
24	141.	AUDIO: Mangerinos [COSB001415]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
25		[- 3~2001.20]	Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
26			402, 403 – Probative	
27 28			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	

	T 10104	D	O1: /: /P	
$1 \parallel$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	1100			Authenticity
3				and
4			YY	Foundation
5			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
6	142.	Erin Mangerino cell phone video of shooting		Plaintiffs
7		[COSB001416]		stipulate to authenticity
8				and
9				foundation.
10	143.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Cell Phone video: PA msg	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
11		[COSB001417]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
12			402, 403 – Relevance;	
13			wasting time; cumulative of other	
14			evidence.	
15	144.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
16		videos: Puga still in vehicle [COSB001418]	Objections:	
17			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
18			402, 403 – Relevance;	
			wasting time; cumulative of other	
19			evidence.	
20	145.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle 002	Plaintiffs'	
21		[COSB001419]	Objections:	
22			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
23			wasting time;	
24			cumulative of other	
25			evidence.	
26	146.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos:.Passenger exits & non lethal to driver window	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
27		[COSB001420]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
28			402, 403 – Relevance;	

1	E-hihi4	Degamintion	Objection/Pagnange	Stimulation
$\frac{1}{2}$	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			wasting time;	<u>1 oundation</u>
5			cumulative of other	
6			evidence.	
7	147.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Passenger apprehended [COSB001421]	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> <u>Objections</u> :	
8		[COSB001421]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
9			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
11			cumulative of other evidence.	
12	148.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
13		Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle 003 [COSB001422]	402, 403 – Relevance;	
14			wasting time; cumulative of other	
15			evidence.	
16	149.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle 004	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
17		[COSB001423]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
18			402, 403 – Relevance;	
19			wasting time; cumulative of other	
20			evidence.	
21	150.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle	Plaintiffs'	
22		unobstructed [COSB001424]	Objections:	
23		[COSDOUTT2T]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
24			wasting time;	
25			cumulative of other evidence.	
26	151.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
27		Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle 005 [COSB001425]	Objections:	
28			1	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			to Authoriticity
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
6			wasting time;	
7			cumulative of other evidence.	
8	152.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
9	132.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle 006 [COSB001426]	Objections:	
10			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
11			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
12			cumulative of other evidence.	
13	153.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Get out of the car	Plaintiffs'	
14		[COSB001427]	Objections:	
15			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
16			wasting time;	
17 18			cumulative of other evidence.	
19	154.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
20		videos: Inside of house [COSB001428]	Objections:	
21			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
22			wasting time;	
23			cumulative of other evidence.	
24	155.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Negotiation + more non	Plaintiffs'	
25		lethal [COSB001429]	Objections:	
26			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
27			wasting time;	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	Objection Response	to
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			cumulative of other	
6	156	Γ11M	evidence.	
7	156.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Get out of the car + more shots	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
8		[COSB001430]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
9			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
10			cumulative of other evidence.	
11	157.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
12	137.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Yelling + more shots [COSB001431]	Objections:	
13		[COSD001 1 31]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
14			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
15			cumulative of other	
16			evidence.	
17	158.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Yelling + more shots 002	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
18		[COSB001432]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
19			402, 403 – Relevance;	
20			wasting time; cumulative of other	
21			evidence.	
22	159.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle more	Plaintiffs'	
23		commands [COSB001433]	Objections:	
24			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
25			wasting time;	
26			cumulative of other evidence.	
27	160.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle more	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
28		commands 002	Objections.	

1 2 3 4	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to Authenticity and Foundation
5 6 7		[COSB001434]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time; cumulative of other evidence.	
8 9 10 11 12 13	161.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle more commands 003 [COSB001435]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time; cumulative of other evidence.	
14 15 16 17	162.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle come out command [COSB001436]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time; cumulative of other evidence.	
19 20 21 22 23	163.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Yelling + more shots 003 [COSB001437]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time; cumulative of other evidence.	
2425262728	164.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle 005 [COSB001438]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time; cumulative of other	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			evi Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
6			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
7			cumulative of other	
8	165.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	evidence.dence.	
9	103.	videos: Puga still in vehicle 006 [COSB001439]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
10		[COSB001439]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
11			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
12			cumulative of other	
13			evidence.	
14	166.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle 007	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
15		[COSB001440]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
16			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
17			cumulative of other	
18			evidence.	
19	167.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga still in vehicle zoom	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
20		in [COSB001441]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
21			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
22			cumulative of other	
23			evidence.	
24	168.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga opens driver door	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
25		[COSB001442]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
26			402, 403 – Relevance;	
27			wasting time;	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	to
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			cumulative of other	
			evidence.	
6 7	169.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga leaning out door	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
<i>'</i>		[COSB001443]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
8			402, 403 – Relevance;	
9			wasting time; cumulative of other	
10			evidence.	
11	170.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
12		videos: Puga pointing out window [COSB001444]	Objections:	
13			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
14			wasting time;	
15			cumulative of other evidence.	
16	171.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
17	1/1.	videos: Puga looking out window [COSB001445]	Objections:	
18		[COSD001443]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
19			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
20			cumulative of other	
21			evidence.	
22	172.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Puga discarding a drink	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
23		[COSB001446]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
24			402, 403 – Relevance;	
25			wasting time; cumulative of other	
26			evidence.	
27 28	173.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: Command to come out continued	Plaintiffs' Objections:	

1 2 3 4	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to Authenticity and Foundation
5 6 7		[COSB001447]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time; cumulative of other evidence.	
8 9 10 11 12 13	174.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: [COSB001448]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time; cumulative of other evidence.	
14 15 16 17 18	175.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: [COSB001449]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time; cumulative of other evidence.	
19 20 21 22 23	176.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: [COSB001450]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time; cumulative of other evidence.	
24252627	177.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: [COSB001451]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	<u>No.</u>	Description	Objection Response	to
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			cumulative of other	
6			evidence.	
7	178.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos:	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
		[COSB001452]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
8			402, 403 – Relevance;	
9			wasting time; cumulative of other	
10			evidence.	
11	179.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
12		videos: [COSB001453]	Objections :	
13			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
14			wasting time;	
15			cumulative of other	
16	100	Edward Managains call abons	evidence.	
17	180.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos:	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
18		[COSB001454]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
19			402, 403 – Relevance;	
20			wasting time; cumulative of other	
21			evidence.	
22	181.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos:	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
23		[COSB001455]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
24			402, 403 – Relevance;	
25			wasting time; cumulative of other	
26			evidence.	
27 28	182.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos:	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
20				

1	T1-21-24	December 41 am	Ohio dia / Damana	C4:1-4:
1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	<u> </u>			Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4		[COCD001456]	E. 1 D. E. 1. 401	Foundation
5		[COSB001456]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
6			wasting time;	
7			cumulative of other evidence.	
8	183.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
9	103.	videos: [COSB001457]	Objections:	
10			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
11			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
12			cumulative of other evidence.	
13	184.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
14		videos: [COSB001458]	Objections:	
15			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
16			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
17			cumulative of other	
18			evidence.	
19	185.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos:		Plaintiffs stimulate to
20		[COSB001459]		stipulate to authenticity
21				and foundation.
22	186.	Edward Mangerino cell phone	Plaintiffs'	
23		videos: [COSB001460]	Objections :	
24			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
25			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
26			cumulative of other	
27			evidence.	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			to
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5	187.	Edward Mangerino cell phone videos: [COSB001461]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
6		[COSDO01401]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
7			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	
8			cumulative of other	
9			evidence.	
10	188.	CHP Kee Dashcam [COSB001462]	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> <u>Objections</u> :	
11			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
12			402, 403 – Wasting time; cumulative of	
13			other evidence;	
14			probative value is outweighed by	
15			prejudice.	
16	189.	CHP Kee Dashcam	Plaintiffs'	
17		[COSB001463]	Objections:	
18			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Wasting	
19			time; cumulative of	
20			other evidence; probative value is	
21 22			outweighed by	
23	190.	CHP Kee Dashcam	prejudice. Plaintiffs'	
24	170.	[COSB001464]	Objections:	
25			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
26			402, 403 – Wasting time; cumulative of	
27			other evidence;	
28			probative value is	
20				

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			to
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			outweighed by prejudice.	
6	191.	CHP Kee Dashcam		Plaintiffs
7		[COSB001465]		stipulate to authenticity
8				and
9				foundation.
10 11	192.	CHP Kee Dashcam [COSB001466]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
12			402, 403 – Wasting time; cumulative of	
13			other evidence;	
14			probative value is outweighed by	
15			prejudice.	
16	193.	CHP Kee dashcam	Plaintiffs'	
17		[COSB001467]	Objections:	
18			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Wasting	
19			time; cumulative of	
20			other evidence; probative value is	
21			outweighed by	
22			prejudice.	
23	194.	Annabell Botten cell phone video [COSB001468]	Plaintiffs'	
24			Objections:	
25			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
26			wasting time;	
27			cumulative of other evidence; 901 –	
28			foundation and	

$_{1}\Vert$	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>		S S JOURNAL TEOPORISE	to
				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4				Foundation
5			authenticity has not been established.	
6	195.	Annabell Botten cell phone video	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
7		[COSB001469]	Objections:	stipulate to
8			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
9			402, 403 – Relevance; wasting time;	foundation.
10			cumulative of other	
11			evidence; 901 – foundation and	
12			authenticity has not	
13			been established.	
14	196.	Erin Mangerino cell phone video [COSB001470]		Plaintiffs
				stipulate to authenticity
15				and
16				foundation.
17	197.	Photos Scene on Peach [COSB001471-COSB001499,	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
18		COSB001504-COSB001511,	Objections:	stipulate to authenticity
19		COSB001527-COSB001536,	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 - Wasting	and
20		COSB001551-COSB001559, COSB001570-COSB001571,	time; Undue delay;	foundation if
21		COSB001370-COSB001371,	Misleading/confusing	admitted.
22		COSB001853-COSB001881,	of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
23		COSB001889, COSB001893, COSB001962-COSB001966,	prejudice; Cumulative.	
		COSB001902-COSB001900,		
24		COSB001980- COSB001984,		
25		COSB001993, COSB001997,		
26		COSB002005, COSB002009- COSB002011, COSB002015-		
27		COSB002011, COSB002015-		
28		COSB002027, COSB002047-		

1	T-1.21.24	D	OL:4:/ D	C4*1-4*
$1 \parallel$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	110.			Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5		COSB002049, COSB002051-		
		COSB002053, COSB002356,		
6		COSB002362, COSB002369,		
7		COSB002372, COSB002375, COSB002380, COSB002385,		
$_{8}\ $		COSB002380, COSB002383, COSB002392-COSB002393,		
		COSB002398, COSB002403,		
9		COSB002408-COSB002409,		
10		COSB002422, COSB002432,		
11		COSB002436- COSB002439,		
		COSB002442, COSB002480,		
12		COSB002485-COSB002487,		
13		COSB002499- COSB002500,		
14		COSB002508, COSB002516-		
		COSB002517, COSB002526, COSB002531- COSB002533,		
15		COSB002531- COSB002535,		
16		COSB002542, COSB002551,		
17		COSB002553, COSB002555,		
		COSB002578, COSB002581,		
18		COSB002584, COSB002586,		
19		COSB002594, COSB002602,		
		COSB002632, COSB002638]		
20 21	198.	Photos Scene West facing at intersection	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
		[COSB001500-COSB001501,	Objections:	stipulate to authenticity
22		COSB001572-COSB001574,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	and
23		COSB003347, COSB003375- COSB003377]	402, 403 - Wasting time; Undue delay;	foundation if
24		COSD003311]	Misleading/confusing	admitted.
25			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
26			prejudice; Cumulative.	
27	199.	Scene Northwest facing at	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
28		intersection, Scene Northeast	Objections:	stipulate to

1	E-libit	Description	Objection/Demand	Ctimulation
1	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	1100			Authenticity
3				<u>and</u>
4				Foundation
5		facing at intersection, Scene North facing at intersection	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity
		[COSB001502-COSB001503,	402, 403 - Wasting	and foundation if
6		COSB001512-COSB001513,	time; Undue delay; Misleading/confusing	admitted.
7		COSB001519-COSB001526,	of issues; Probative	
8		COSB001575-COSB001576, COSB001568-COSB001569,	value outweighed by	
9		COSB002639- COSB002641,	prejudice; Cumulative.	
10		COSB003332-COSB003334,		
11		COSB003338, COSB003378-		
		COSB003379, COSB003480- COSB003487, COSB003793-		
12		COSB003801, COSB004000-		
13		COSB004001]		
14	200.	Scene South facing at intersection,	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
15		[COSB001514-COSB001516, COSB001560-COSB001565,	Objections :	stipulate to
16		COSB001895, COSB003345-	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
		COSB003346, COSB003352-	402, 403 - Wasting time; Undue delay;	foundation if
17		COSB003357, COSB003954-	Misleading/confusing	admitted.
18		COSB003955, COSB003995- COSB003997, COSB004055-	of issues; Probative	
19		COSB004057]	value outweighed by	
20	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		prejudice; Cumulative.	D1 1 100
21	201.	Scene East facing at intersection [COSB001517-COSB001518,	Plaintiffs' Objections:	Plaintiffs stipulate to
22		COSB001566-COSB001567,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity
23		COSB003330- COSB003331,	402, 403 - Wasting	and
		COSB003478- COSB003479, COSB003792, COSB003999]	time; Undue delay;	foundation if admitted.
24		- 1 1 2 3 3 5	Misleading/confusing	aummteu.
25			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
26			prejudice; Cumulative.	
27	202.	Puga at scene	Plaintiffs'	
28		COSB001539	Objections :	
_				

-40

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			to
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
6			402, 403 – Relevance; Probative value	
7			outweighed by	
			prejudice.	
8	203.	Puga's Gun	Plaintiffs'	
9		COSB001541	Objections:	
10			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
11			Probative value	
12			outweighed by prejudice.	
13	204.	Road Sign with an electric pole		Plaintiffs
14		[COSB001549-COSB001550]		stipulate to
15				authenticity
16				and foundation.
17	205.	FCC, FCC rested on the	Plaintiffs'	
18		windshield wiper [COSB001595, COSB001596,	Objections :	
19		COSB001598-COSB001599,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
20		COSB001601-COSB001602, COSB001816-COSB001823,	402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
21		COSB001967- COSB001970,	delay;	
22		COSB001972-COSB001977, COSB001979, COSB001985-	Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
23		COSB001979, COSB001983-	value outweighed by	
		COSB001996, COSB001998-	prejudice; Cumulative.	
24		COSB002004, COSB002006-		
25		COSB002008, COSB002045- COSB002046, COSB002331-		
26		COSB002332, COSB002339,		
27		COSB002357- COSB002361,		
28		COSB002363-COSB002366,		

1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u>
				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4				Foundation
5		COSB002368, COSB002370-		
		COSB002371, COSB002373-		
6		COSB002374, COSB002376-		
7		COSB002379, COSB002381-		
		COSB002384, COSB002386-		
8		COSB002391, COSB002395-		
9		COSB002397, COSB002399-		
		COSB002402, COSB002404-		
10		COSB002407, COSB002413-		
11		COSB002414, COSB002416-		
		COSB002417, COSB002419-		
12		COSB002420, COSB002423-		
13		COSB002429, COSB002443-		
		COSB002445, COSB002449-		
14		COSB002474, COSB002489-		
15		COSB002490, COSB002492-		
		COSB002493, COSB002497-		
16		COSB002498, COSB002501,		
17		COSB002503-COSB002507,		
1.0		COSB002509- COSB002515,		
18		COSB002518-COSB002525,		
19		COSB002527-COSB002528,		
20		COSB002568, COSB002576-		
20		COSB002577, COSB002579-		
21		COSB002580, COSB002582-		
22		COSB002583, COSB002585,		
		COSB002587- COSB002593,		
23		COSB002595, COSB002597-		
24		COSB002598, COSB002600- COSB002601, COSB002610-		
		COSB002616, COSB002610-		
25		COSB002631, COSB002633-		
26		COSB002635, COSB004854-		
		COSB004857]		
27		232200 100 /]		

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
	No.	Description	Objection/ Response	to
2				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4	206	Launcher	Dlointiffa?	<u>roundation</u>
5	206.	[COSB001615-COSB001617]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
6			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
7			402, 403 – Relevance;	
8			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
9			Misleading/confusing	
10			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
11			prejudice.	
12	207.	Four-Cylinder of Firearm, Cylinders, Firearm and Cylinders	Plaintiffs'	
13		[ČOSB001619-COSB001621]	Objections:	
14			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
15			Wasting time; Undue	
16			delay; Misleading/confusing	
17			of issues; Probative	
18			value outweighed by	
19	200	D'Cl. C. 42'1. D. 22'44'1. 1	prejudice.	
	208.	Rifle Cartridge, Puga's pistol and cartridge, unfired catridge, Puga's Pistol and FCC	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
20		[COSB001622-COSB001629,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
21		COSB002041- COSB002044]	402, 403 – Relevance	
22			– information re	
23			alleged gun found next to Puga not known to	
24			officers at the time of	
25			the incident; Wasting	
26			time; Undue delay; Misleading/confusing	
27			of issues; Probative	
- '				

1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5			value outweighed by prejudice.	
6	209.	Scene Peach Northwest facing,	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
7		Scene Peach Northeast facing, Scene Peach North facing	Objections :	stipulate to
8		[COSB001836-COSB001840, COSB001842, COSB001851-	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 - Wasting	authenticity and
9		COSB001852, COSB001890- COSB001892, COSB001897,	time; Undue delay;	foundation if
10		COSB002024, COSB002244, COSB002247, COSB002257,	Misleading/confusing	admitted.
11		COSB002347-COSB002353, COSB002430, COSB002530,	of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
12		COSB002534, COSB002556, COSB002562, COSB002564,	prejudice; Cumulative.	
13		COSB002603, COSB002608- COSB002609, COSB002636-		
14		LCOSB002637.COSB003176-		
15		COSB003177, COSB003178- COSB003182, COSB003185- COSB003189, COSB003226-		
16		COSB003251,		
17		COSB003253-COSB003258, COSB00326, COSB003276-		
18		COSB003295, COSB003304- COSB003306, COSB003313-		
19		COSB003315, COSB003335- COSB003337, COSB003339-		
20		COSB003340, COSB003358- COSB003360, COSB003361-		
21		COSB003340, COSB003361- COSB003365, COSB003371- COSB003374, COSB003488,		
22		COSB003511- COSB003519,COSB003523- COSB003528, COSB003535-		
23		COSB003546, COSB003605-		
		COSB003608, COSB003722- COSB003726,		
24		COSB003733-COSB003735,		
25		COSB003746- COSB003750, COSB003960-COSB003961,		
26		COSB003962, COSB003972-		
27		COSB003979,		
- 1			-	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
	No.	Description	Objection/ Response	to
2				Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5	210.	Scene Peach Southeast facing,	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
		Scene Peach Southwest facing, Scene Peach South facing	Objections :	stipulate to
6		[COSB001843-COSB001849, COSB001882- COSB001888,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity
7		COSB001894, COSB002028,	402, 403 - Wasting	and foundation if
$_{8}\ $		COSB002410-COSB002411, COSB002446- COSB00247,	time; Undue delay;	admitted.
9		COSB002558, COSB002559-	Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
		COSB002560, COSB002606- COSB002607, COSB003198-	value outweighed by	
10		COSB003204, COSB003208- COSB003212, COSB003262-	prejudice; Cumulative.	
11		COSB003266,		
12		COSB003270-COSB003273, COSB003307- COSB003310,		
13		COSB003316-COSB003329,		
		COSB003344, COSB003503- COSB003508, COSB003510,		
14		COSB003520-COSB003522,		
15		COSB003529-COSB003532, COSB003558- COSB003565,		
16		COSB003600-COSB003602, COSB003612-COSB003615,		
17		COSB003727-COSB003731,		
		COSB003737-COSB003738, COSB003802-COSB003807,		
18		COSB003958-		
19		COSB003959,COSB003963-		
20		COSB003971, COSB003992-		
21		COSB003994, COSB004058- COSB004061		
	011		DI . 4.00 1	
22	211.	Puga's Vehicle, Inside Puga's Vehicle	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
23		[COSB001896, COSB001898,		
24		COSB001899- COSB001957]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance	
25			- information not	
			known to the officer at	
26			the time of the	
27			incident; Wasting	
28			time; Undue delay;	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
			Misleading/confusing	
5			of issues; Probative	
6			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
7	212.	Modelo beer can	Plaintiffs'	
8	212.	[COSB002012-COSB002014]	Objections:	
9			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
10			402, 403 - Wasting time; Undue delay;	
11			Misleading/confusing	
12			of issues; Probative	
13			value outweighed by prejudice.	
14	213.	EXACT iMpact round	Plaintiffs'	
15		[COSB002054-COSB002056]	Objections:	
16			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
17			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
18			delay;	
19			Misleading/confusing	
			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
20			prejudice.	
21	214.	Beanbag marking round [COSB002234-COSB002243,	Plaintiffs'	
22		COSB002258- COSB002272,	Objections:	
23		COSB002476-COSB002479,	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 - Wasting	
24		COSB002481-COSB002484, COSB002617- COSB002626,	time; Undue delay;	
25		COSB002642-COSB002644]	Misleading/confusing	
26			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
27			prejudice; Cumulative.	
28				

				~
$1 \mid$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
4				Foundation
5	215.	House at 11516 Peach St		Plaintiffs
		[COSB002273-COSB002275, COSB003195, COSB003215-		stipulate to
6		COSB003217, COSB003221-		authenticity and
7		COSB003223, COSB003567-		foundation if
8		COSB003568, COSB003574,		admitted.
9		COSB003584, COSB003593]		
10	216.	Scene Peach West facing [COSB002354-COSB002355, COSB002557, COSB003183-		Plaintiffs stipulate to
11		COSB003184, COSB003190-		authenticity
12		COSB003194, COSB003196- COSB003197,		and foundation if
13		COSB003207, COSB003214, COSB003252, COSB003259-		admitted.
		COSB003261, COSB003267-		
14		COSB003269, COSB003296- COSB003303, COSB003533-		
15		COSB003534, COSB003736, COSB003810, COSB003956-		
16		COSB003957]		
17	217.	Scene Peach East facing		
18		[COSB002431, COSB003205- COSB003206, COSB003213,		
19		COSB003274-COSB003275, COSB003311-COSB003312,		
20		COSB003366-COSB003370, COSB003509, COSB003732,		
21		COSB003808- COSB003809]		
22	218.	L.M.T. Defense pump-action [COSB002433-COSB002435]	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> <u>Objections</u> :	
23			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
24			402, 403 – Relevance;	
25			Wasting time; Undue	
			delay; Misleading/confusing	
26			of issues; Probative	
27			value outweighed by	
28			prejudice.	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>	<u> </u>	Objection Temporary	to
3				Authenticity
				and Foundation
4	219.	Navy basketball shorts and other	Plaintiffs'	
5		Navy basketball shorts and other items, Red basketball shorts [COSB002539-COSB002541,	Objections:	
6		COSB002543-COSB002544]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
7			402, 403 – Relevance;	
8			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
9			Misleading/confusing	
10			of issues; Probative	
11			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
	220.	Black hooded sweatshirt	Plaintiffs'	
12	220.	[COSB002545-COSB002548]	Objections:	
13			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
14			402, 403 – Relevance;	
15			Wasting time; Undue	
16			delay; Misleading/confusing	
17			of issues; Probative	
18			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
19	221	18 pack of Modelo beer	1	
	221.	[COSB002549-COSB002550]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
20			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
21			402, 403 – Relevance;	
22			Wasting time; Undue	
23			delay; Misleading/confusing	
24			of issues; Probative	
25			value outweighed by	
26	222	Dad alatha and with 191-1-191-19	prejudice; Cumulative.	
27	222.	Red cloths and wig like object [COSB002552]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
28			- NATIONAL .	
20				

-48-

1	Ehih:4	Dogowintion	Objection/Degrange	Ctimulation
1	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	1100			Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
6			Wasting time; Undue	
7			delay;	
8			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
			value outweighed by	
9			prejudice; Cumulative.	
10	223.	Zip reclosable bag [COSB002554]	Plaintiffs'	
11		[COSD002334]	Objections:	
12			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
13			Wasting time; Undue	
14			delay;	
15			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
16			value outweighed by	
17			prejudice; Cumulative.	
18	224.	Right Flank projectile [COSB002737-COSB002738]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	Plaintiffs stipulate to
19			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity
20			402, 403 – Relevance;	and
21			Wasting time; Undue	foundation if admitted.
22			delay; Misleading/confusing	
23			of issues; Probative	
24			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
25	225.	Metal jacketed projectile	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
26		[COSB002770-COSB002771, COSB002775-COSB002776,	Objections:	stipulate to authenticity
27		COSB002780-COSB002781,	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	and
		COSB002811-COSB002812]	Wasting time; Undue	
28		1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

_				
$\frac{1}{2}$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	2100			Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			dolovy	foundation if
5			delay; Misleading/confusing	admitted.
6			of issues; Probative	
7			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
8	226.	Autopsy Photos	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
9	220.	[COSB002682 (right buttock);	Objections:	stipulate to
10		2783 (anterior left lower leg); 2784 (anterior left thigh)	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	402, 403 – Misleading/confusing	foundation if
12			of issues; Probative	admitted.
13			value outweighed by	
14	227	Cantridaea Cantridae	prejudice.	
15	227.	Cartridges, Cartridge [COSB003026-COSB003031,	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
16		COSB005043]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
			402, 403 – Relevance;	
17			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
18			Misleading/confusing	
19			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
20			prejudice; Cumulative.	
21	228.	Scene East of House at 11516	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
22		Peach St [COSB003218-COSB003220,	Objections :	stipulate to
23		COSB003224- COSB003225]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
24			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	foundation if
25			delay;	admitted.
26			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
27			value outweighed by	
28			prejudice; Cumulative.	

	T		014 /4 /7	
$1 \parallel$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
$_{4}\Vert$				Foundation
5	229.	Scene Southwest facing at	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
		intersection [COSB003343,	Objections :	stipulate to
6		COSB003348- COSB003351]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
7			402, 403 – Relevance;	foundation if
8			Wasting time; Undue delay;	admitted.
9			Misleading/confusing	
10			of issues; Probative	
			value outweighed by	
11	220	Sana Catalna North facing Sana	prejudice; Cumulative.	District
12	230.	Scene Catalpa North facing, Scene Catalpa Northwest facing, Scene Catalpa Northeast facing [COSB003380-COSB003387,	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> <u>Objections</u> :	Plaintiffs stipulate to
13		Catalpa Northeast facing ICOSB003380-COSB003387	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity
14		COSB003824- COSB003827,	402, 403 – Relevance;	and
15		COSB004006-COSB004011]	Wasting time; Undue	foundation if admitted.
16			delay;	admitted.
			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
17			value outweighed by	
18			prejudice; Cumulative.	
19	231.	Scene Northwest facing from	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
20		Botten house, Scene North facing from Botten house, Scene	<u>Objections</u> :	stipulate to
21		Northeast facing from Botten house	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
22		[COSB003390-COSB003391,	402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	foundation if
23		COSB003397- COSB003399, COSB003943-COSB003944,	delay;	admitted.
		COSB003947-COSB003949]	Misleading/confusing	
24		-	of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
25			prejudice; Cumulative.	
26	232.	Botten-front view	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
27		[COSB003392-COSB003393,	Objections:	stipulate to
28		COSB003400, COSB003417,		authenticity

_	T 1 11 14	D 14	Oli (i /D	Cu. Lu
$1 \parallel$	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	1100			Authenticity
3				and
4		GOGDOOGO GOGDOOGO		Foundation
5		COSB003833-COSB003837, COSB003840-COSB003846,	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	and foundation if
6		COSB003942]	Wasting time; Undue	admitted.
7			delay;	
8			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
9			value outweighed by	
10			prejudice; Cumulative.	
11	233.	Botten-exterior west [COSB003403-COSB003407]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	Plaintiffs stipulate to
12			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity
			402, 403 – Relevance;	and foundation if
13			Wasting time; Undue delay;	admitted.
14			Misleading/confusing	
15			of issues; Probative	
16			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
17	234.	Scene Southwest facing from	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
18		Scene Southwest facing from Botten house, Scene Southeast facing from Botton house, Scene	Objections:	stipulate to
19		South facing from Botten house [COSB003471-COSB003477,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
20		COSB003838, COSB003937-	402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	foundation if
21		COSB003941, COSB003945,	delay;	admitted.
22		COSB003952-COSB003953, COSB004052- COSB004054]	Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
23		_	value outweighed by	
24			prejudice; Cumulative.	
25	235.	Scene East facing from Puga [COSB003497-COSB003498]	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
26			Objections:	stipulate to authenticity
27			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	and
28			Wasting time; Undue	

-52-

1	EL:L:4	Description	Objection/Demons	C4:1-4:
$\frac{1}{2}$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
$2 \parallel$				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			1 1	<u>Foundation</u>
5			delay; Misleading/confusing	foundation if admitted.
6			of issues; Probative	
7			value outweighed by	
$_{8}\ $	226	Scene Peach South facing from	prejudice; Cumulative.	Plaintiffs
9	236.	Puga	Plaintiffs' Objections:	stipulate to
10		[COSB003501-COSB003502, COSB003504, COSB003510]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity
11		, J	402, 403 – Relevance;	and foundation if
12			Wasting time; Undue delay;	admitted.
13			Misleading/confusing	
14			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
15			prejudice; Cumulative.	
16	237.	Scene Northwest facing from East shoulder	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
17		[COSB003547-COSB003549,	Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401,	stipulate to authenticity
18		COSB003988- COSB003990]	402, 403 – Relevance;	and foundation if
19			Wasting time; Undue delay;	admitted.
20			Misleading/confusing	
21			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
22			prejudice; Cumulative.	
23	238.	Scene West facing from East shoulder	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
24		[COSB003550-COSB003552,	Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401,	stipulate to authenticity
25		COSB003986- COSB003987]	402, 403 – Relevance;	and
26			Wasting time; Undue delay;	foundation if admitted.
27			Misleading/confusing	
28			of issues; Probative	

-53-

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
6	239.	Scene South facing from East shoulder	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
7		[COSB003553-COSB003557,	Objections:	stipulate to authenticity
8		COSB003811]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	and
9			Wasting time; Undue	foundation if admitted.
10			delay; Misleading/confusing	admitted.
11			of issues; Probative	
12			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
13	240.	Scene Southwest facing from	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
14	240.	Scene Southwest facing from West shoulder [COSB003566]	Objections:	stipulate to
15		[COSD003300]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
16			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	foundation if
17			delay;	admitted.
18			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
19			value outweighed by	
20			prejudice; Cumulative.	
21	241.	Scene East facing from Goodson, Scene Northeast facing from	Plaintiffs' Objections:	Plaintiffs stipulate to
22		Goodson, Scene Southeast facing from Goodson, Scene Northwest	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity
23		facing from Goodson [COSB003569-COSB003573,	402, 403 – Relevance;	and foundation if
24		COSB003580- COSB003583,	Wasting time; Undue delay;	admitted.
25		COSB003589-COSB003592, COSB003594-COSB003595]	Misleading/confusing	
26			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
27			prejudice; Cumulative.	
28				

1	EL:L:4	December 4' am	Objection/Demons	C4:1-4:
$\frac{1}{2}$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	1100			Authenticity
3				<u>and</u>
4				Foundation
5	242.	Scene Southwest facing from Westside shoulder. Scene South	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
6		Scene Southwest facing from Westside shoulder, Scene South facing from Westside shoulder, Scene Southeast facing from Westside shoulder	Objections:	stipulate to authenticity
7		Westside shoulder	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	and
		[COSB003596-COSB003599, COSB003609-COSB003611,	Wasting time; Undue	foundation if
8		COSB003754, COSB003782-	delay;	admitted.
9		COSB003783, COSB003789-	Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
10		COSB003791]	value outweighed by	
11			prejudice; Cumulative.	
12	243.	Scene Northeast facing from Westside shoulder, Scene North	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
13		Scene Northeast facing from Westside shoulder, Scene North facing from Westside shoulder	Objections:	stipulate to authenticity
14		[COSB003603-COSB003604, COSB003751- COSB003753,	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	and
15		COSB003757-COSB003759,	Wasting time; Undue	foundation if
		COSB003786-COSB003788]	delay;	admitted.
16			Misleading/confusing	
17			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
18			prejudice; Cumulative.	
19	244.	PepperBall gun and container,	Plaintiffs'	
20		shotgun/, PepperBall gun, [COSB003686-COSB003692,	Objections:	
21		COSB003699-COSB003703]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
22			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
23			delay;	
			Misleading/confusing	
24			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
25			prejudice; Cumulative.	
26	245.	Less-lethal shotgun	Plaintiffs'	
27		[COSB003705-ČOSB003714, COSB003717- COSB003721]	Objections :	
28		COSD003/1/- COSD003/21]		

-55-

$_{1}\Vert$	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
$_{2}\Vert$	No.		<u> </u>	to
3				Authenticity and
$_{4}\Vert$				Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
6			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
7			delay;	
8			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
9			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
10	246.	Beanbag cartridges [COSB003715- COSB003716]	Plaintiffs'	
11		[COSBO03713 COSBO03710]	Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
12			402, 403 – Relevance;	
13			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
14			Misleading/confusing	
15			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
16			prejudice; Cumulative.	
17 18	247.	Scene East facing from Westside shoulder	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
19		[COSB003755-COSB003756,	Objections:	stipulate to authenticity
20		COSB003784- COSB003785]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	and
21			Wasting time; Undue	foundation if admitted.
22			delay; Misleading/confusing	
23			of issues; Probative	
24			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
25	248.	Scene Northwest facing from Eastside shoulder		Plaintiffs
26		[COSB003761-COSB003763,		stipulate to authenticity
27		COSB003767- COSB003771, COSB003777-COSB003778]		and
28		222000		

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and Foundation
5				foundation if admitted.
6	249.	Scene Southwest facing from	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
7		Scene Southwest facing from Eastside shoulder, Scene West facing from Eastside shoulder,	Objections:	stipulate to authenticity
8		Scene Southwest facing from East shoulder	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	and
9		[COSB003760, COSB003764- COSB003766, COSB003772-	Wasting time; Undue	foundation if admitted.
10		COSB003776, COSB003984-	delay; Misleading/confusing	admitted.
11		COSB003985, COSB003991]	of issues; Probative	
12			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
13	250.	Scene North facing from Eastside	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
14		Scene North facing from Eastside shoulder, Scene North facing from East shoulder	Objections :	stipulate to
15		[COSB003779-COSB003781, COSB003982- COSB003983]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
16		COSD003702 COSD003703]	402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	foundation if
17			delay; Misleading/confusing	admitted.
18			of issues; Probative	
19			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
20	251.	Puga's pistol	Plaintiffs'	
21	231.	[COSB003816]	Objections:	
22			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
23			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
24			delay;	
25			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
26			value outweighed by	
27			prejudice; Cumulative.	
28				

				~
$1 \parallel$	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
$_{4}\Vert$				Foundation
5	252.	Scene Southeast facing from scene	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
		[COSB003819]	Objections :	stipulate to
6			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
7			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	foundation if
8			delay;	admitted.
9			Misleading/confusing	
10			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
11			prejudice; Cumulative.	
12	253.	Scene Catalpa West facing, Scene	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
13		Scene Catalpa West facing, Scene Catalpa Southwest facing [COSB003828-COSB003829,	Objections :	stipulate to
14		COSB004002- COSB004005]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	foundation if
15			delay;	admitted.
16			Misleading/confusing	
17			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
18			prejudice; Cumulative.	
19	254.	Northeast corner's house (Botten)	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
20		[COSB003830-COSB003832, COSB003936, COSB003946]	Objections :	stipulate to
21		COSD003730, COSD003740]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity and
22			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	foundation if
			delay;	admitted.
23			Misleading/confusing	
24			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
25			prejudice; Cumulative.	
26	255.	Scene East facing from Botten	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
27		house [COSB003950-COSB003951]	Objections :	stipulate to
28				authenticity

1	T71-91-94	D	Ob.:	C4*1-4*
1	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	1100			Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	and foundation if
6			Wasting time; Undue	admitted.
7			delay;	
8			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
9			value outweighed by	
			prejudice; Cumulative.	
10	256.	Peach and Catalpa [COSB003980-COSB003981,	Plaintiffs' Objections:	Plaintiffs stipulate to
11			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	stipulate to authenticity
12			402, 403 – Relevance.	and
13				foundation if admitted.
14	257.	Scene Southeast facing at	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
15	237.	intersection [COSB003998]	Objections:	stipulate to
16		[[COSD003770]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity
17			402, 403 – Relevance.	and foundation if
18				admitted.
19	258.	Handgun (Officers and Deputies) [COSB004064-COSB004071,	Plaintiffs'	
20		COSB004004-COSB004071,	Objections:	
21		COSB004149-COSB004154,	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
22		COSB004170-COSB004183, COSB004232- COSB004235,	Wasting time; Undue	
23		COSB004266]	delay; Misleading/confusing	
24			of issues; Probative	
25			value outweighed by	
	2.70		prejudice; Cumulative.	
26	259.	Cartridges and magazine, Cartridge, Magazines [COSB004072-COSB004083,	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
27			Objections.	
28		COSB004089- COSB004098,		

1	E 1.11.4	D		G4. 1.4.
1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	110.			Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5		COSB004134-COSB004141,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
		COSB004155-COSB004164,	402, 403 – Relevance;	
6		COSB004236, COSB004247- COSB004252]	Wasting time; Undue delay;	
7		[COSB004232]	Misleading/confusing	
8			of issues; Probative	
9			value outweighed by	
			prejudice; Cumulative.	
10	260.	Aerial overview of Peach [COSB004184-COSB004195,	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
11		COSB004200- COSB004213,	Objections:	stipulate to authenticity
12		COSB004267-COSB004268,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	and
13		COSB004279-COSB004283]	402, 403 –Wasting time; Undue delay;	foundation if
14			Cumulative.	admitted.
15	261.	Aerial overview of Peach and	Plaintiffs'	Plaintiffs
		Catalpa [COSB004199,	Objections :	stipulate to
16		COSB004214- COSB004222,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	authenticity
17		COSB004269-COSB004278,	402, 403 –Wasting	and foundation if
18		COSB004284-COSB004286]	time; Undue delay; Cumulative.	admitted.
19	262	Witness Demot		
20	262.	Witness, Barrett [COSB004237-COSB004245]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
21			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
22			402, 403 – Relevance;	
23			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
			Misleading/confusing	
24			of issues; Probative	
25			value outweighed by	
26			prejudice.	
27	263.	Puga vehicle, Inside Puga vehicle [COSB004287-COSB004293,	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
28		COSB004295, COSB004307-		

-60

1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u>
				Authenticity
3				and Earn detion
4				Foundation
5		COSB004311, COSB004312,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
		COSB004362, COSB004642-	402, 403 – Relevance;	
6		COSB004644, COSB004649-	Wasting time; Undue	
7		COSB004652, COSB004666,	delay;	
		COSB004668, COSB004681-	Misleading/confusing	
8		COSB004701]	of issues; Probative	
9			value outweighed by	
10			prejudice; Cumulative.	
	264.	Puga vehicle with evidence	Plaintiffs'	
11		marking [COSB004362,	Objections :	
12		COSB004364-COSB004641,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
13		COSB004645-COSB004648,	402, 403 – Relevance;	
13		COSB004653-COSB004665,	Wasting time; Undue	
14		COSB004667, COSB004669-	delay;	
15		COSB004680, COSB004702-	Misleading/confusing	
		COSB004707, COSB004941-	of issues; Probative	
16		COSB004943, COSB004945-	value outweighed by	
17		COSB004966, COSB004968-	prejudice; Cumulative.	
18		COSB004970, COSB004972- COSB004997, COSB005068-		
10		COSB004997, COSB003008-		
19		_		
20	265.	Puga vehicle-ballistics, Puga vehicle-exterior, Puga vehicle-	Plaintiffs'	
		interior, Puga vehicle-door, Puga	Objections :	
21		vehicle-components, Puga vehicle-hood, Puga vehicle-iPad,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
22		Puga vehicle-console, Puga	402, 403 – Relevance;	
23		vehicle-phones, Puga vehicle-id	Wasting time; Undue	
		card, Puga vehicle-side pocket, Puga vehicle-inmate wristband,	delay;	
24		Puga vehicle-paper, Puga vehicle-	Misleading/confusing	
25		Registration Card, Puga vehicle- rear cargo hatch, Puga vehicle-	of issues; Probative	
		rear cargo area, Puga vehicle-rear	value outweighed by	
26		passenger seat, Puga vehicle- windshield area, Puga vehicle-	prejudice; Cumulative.	
27		control panel area, Puga vehicle-		
28		driver side, Puga vehicle-door patched. Puga vehicle-window.		
ا ۵ے		i Daicheu. Fuga veincie-Willuow.	<u> </u>	

$_{1}\Vert$	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
	No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	to
$2 \parallel$				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4		D 1'1 VIN D 1'1		Foundation
5		Puga vehicle-VIN, Puga vehicle- cup holder, Puga vehicle-survival		
6		knife, [COSB004708-COSB004843,		
7		COSB004847-COSB004853,		
		COSB004858-COSB004863,		
8		COSB004866-COSB004873,		
9		COSB004876-COSB004879, COSB004881-COSB004884,		
10		COSB004888-COSB004894,		
11		COSB004899-COSB004907,		
12		COSB004910-COSB004919,		
		COSB004921-COSB004923, COSB004925, COSB004928,		
13		COSB004923, COSB004928, COSB004930- COSB004935,		
14		COSB004937-COSB004940,		
15		COSB004944, COSB004967,		
16		COSB004971, COSB004998- COSB005038, COSB005044-		
17		COSB005048, COSB005051,		
		COSB005067]		
18	266.	iPad, iPhone, iPhone and cartridge	Plaintiffs'	
19		[COSB004844-COSB004846,	Objections :	
20		COSB005039-COSB005042]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
21			402, 403 – Relevance;	
22			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
23			Misleading/confusing	
			of issues; Probative	
24			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
25	267	Survival knife		
26	267.	[COSB004864-COSB004865]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
27			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
28			402, 403 – Relevance;	

-62

1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			Wasting time; Undue	
5			delay;	
6			Misleading/confusing	
7			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
8			prejudice; Cumulative.	
9	268.	Phones [COSB004874-COSB004875]	Plaintiffs'	
10		[COSD004674-COSD004673]	Objections:	
11			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
12			Wasting time; Undue	
13			delay; Misleading/confusing	
14			of issues; Probative	
15			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
16	269.	ID card	Plaintiffs'	
17		[COSB004880]	Objections:	
18			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
19			Wasting time; Undue	
20			delay; Misleading/confusing	
21			of issues; Probative	
22			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
23	270.	Inmate wristband	Plaintiffs'	
24		[COSB004885-COSB004887]	Objections:	
25			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
26			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
27			delay;	
28			Misleading/confusing	

1 2 3 4	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to Authenticity and Foundation
5 6			of issues; Probative value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	271.	Inmate Acknowledgement paper, Paper, Papers [COSB004895, COSB005049- COSB005050]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue delay; Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	272.	Puga belongings [COSB004896-COSB004898]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue delay; Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	273.	Syringes, Puga vehicle-syringe [COSB004908-COSB004909]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue delay; Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative.	

-64

				~
1	Exhibit No	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
4				Foundation
5	274.	Puga vehicle-bullet hole, Puga vehicle-bullet holes,	Plaintiffs'	
		[COSB004920, COSB004924,	Objections :	
6		COSB004926- COSB004927,	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
7		COSB004929, COSB005058-	402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
8		COSB005066]	delay;	
9			Misleading/confusing	
10			of issues; Probative	
			value outweighed by	
11			prejudice; Cumulative.	
12	275.	Puga vehicle-marijuana container, Marijuana Container	Plaintiffs'	
13		[COSB005052-COSB005057]	Objections:	
14			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
15			delay;	
16			Misleading/confusing	
17			of issues; Probative	
18			value outweighed by	
		GDG M. A. C. L. A.	prejudice; Cumulative.	
19	276.	CBS News Article re LA [COSB005996-COSB006003]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
20			Objections:	
21			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
22			Wasting time; Undue	
23			delay;	
24			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
25			value outweighed by	
			prejudice.	
26	277.	Interview of Sgt. Kee taken	Plaintiffs'	
27		3/4/21 [COSB006004-COSB006082]	Objections:	
28				

-65-

1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> Authenticity
3				and
$4 \parallel$				Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
6			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
7			Hearsay for which no	
8			exception applies.	
9	278.	Transcript: Interviews Bustamante Abernathy Blackwood	Plaintiffs'	
10		[COSB006083-COSB006184]	Objections:	
11			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
12			value outweighed by	
13			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
14	279.	Transcript: Interviews CHP	1 11	
15	219.	Officer Bernardo Rubalcava	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
16		[COSB006185-COSB006320]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
17			402, 403 – Probative	
18			value outweighed by	
19			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
20			exception applies.	
21	280.	: Transcript: Interviews SBCSD Sgt. Robert Vaccari	Plaintiffs'	
		Sgt. Robert Vaccari [COSB006321-COSB006410]	Objections :	
22		[Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
23			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
24			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
25			Hearsay for which no	
26			exception applies.	
27	281.	Transcript: Interview Deputy Jake Adams	Plaintiffs'	
28		[COSB006411-COSB006492]	Objections :	
20	L	<u> </u>		

$_{1}\Vert$	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
	No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	to
2				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			End D Evid 401	<u>roundation</u>
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
6			value outweighed by	
7			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
8			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
9	282.	Transcript: Interview Sgt. Kee	Plaintiffs'	
	202.	[COSB006493-COSB006570]	Objections:	
10			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
11			402, 403 – Probative	
12			value outweighed by	
13			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
14			exception applies.	
15	283.	Transcript: Interviews	Plaintiffs'	
16		Bustamante. Abernathy. Blackwood [COSB006571-COSB006670]	Objections:	
17			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
			402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by	
18			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
19			Hearsay for which no	
20			exception applies.	
21	284.	Diagram of Shooting Area [COSB006732]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
22			901 – foundation not	
23			established.	
24	285.	Video - Car Chase Ends in Shootout Video on Live TV	Plaintiffs'	
25		[COSB006733]	Objections:	
26			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
27			Wasting time; Undue	
- '			delay;	

-67-

1 2 3 4	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to Authenticity and Foundation
5 6 7			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative value outweighed by prejudice.	
8 9 10 11 12 13	286.	Diagram Deputy Jake Adams [COSB006734- COSB006735]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative value outweighed by prejudice; 901 – foundation not established.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	287.	COSB Investigation Report Book [COSB006736- COSB007426] - Tab 4 – Suspect Information - Tab 6 – Scene - Tab 7 – Officer Processing Tab 8 – Officer Interview Verbatims	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 - Wasting time; Undue delay; Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	288.	COSB Investigation Report Book 2 [COSB007427- COSB008042]	Plaintiffs' Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 - Wasting time; Undue delay; Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	

1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			Hearsay for which no	
6			exception applies.	
	289.	Autopsy Protocol and Tox - Hector Puga [COSB008034- COSB00852]	Plaintiffs'	
7		[COSB008034- COSB00852]	Objections:	
8			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 - Probative	
9			value outweighed by	
10			prejudice re	
11			Toxicology report and statements/summaries	
12			of the incident	
13			contained in the	
14			Autopsy Protocol; 602 – need for personal	
			knowledge regarding	
15			toxicology report; 801,	
16			802 – Contains hearsay for which no	
17			exception applies; 901	
18			 authenticity and 	
19			foundation of toxicology report not	
20			established.	
21	290.	LA County Sheriff Report re	Plaintiffs'	
22		Hector Puga [COSB008056- COSB 008061]	Objections:	
23			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
24			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
			delay;	
25			Misleading/confusing	
26			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
27			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
28			Hearsay for which no	

-69-

1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and Foundation
4			exception applies; 901	
5			authenticity and	
6			foundation has not been established.	
7	291.	County of LA Incident Report, 2-	Plaintiffs'	
8	271.	16-21 [COSB008062- COSB008072]	Objections:	
9		[COSDOO002 COSDOO0072]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
10			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
11			delay;	
12			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
13			value outweighed by	
14			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
15			Hearsay for which no exception applies; 901	
16			– authenticity and	
17			foundation has not been established.	
18	292.	Letter from Plaintiff's Attorney	Plaintiffs'	
19		Re Claim Late Filing 12/2/21 [COSB008423- COSB008424]	Objections:	
20			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
21			Wasting time; Undue	
22			delay; Misleading/confusing	
23			of issues; Probative	
24			value outweighed by	
25			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
26			exception applies.	
27	293.	Officer Bernardino Rubalcava Diagram	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
28		[COSB008425- COSB 008426]	<u>vojectons</u> .	

1	TC-1.21.24	D	Older die et / De en en en	C4*1-4*
1	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	2100			Authenticity
3				and
4			E 1 B E 11 401	Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
6			value outweighed by	
7			prejudice; 901 –	
8			foundation not established.	
	294.	Michael Blackwood Diagram		
9	294.	[COSB008427]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
10			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
11			402, 403 – Probative	
12			value outweighed by	
13			prejudice; 901 – foundation not	
14			established.	
15	295.	Parolee Information on Puga [COSB008428- COSB008430]	Plaintiffs'	
16		[CO3B008428- CO3B008430]	Objections :	
17			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
18			Wasting time; Undue	
			delay;	
19			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
20			value outweighed by	
21			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
22			Hearsay for which no exception applies; 901	
23			- authenticity and	
24			foundation has not	
25		D D 1 DI	been established.	
26	296.	Puga Parolee Photos [COSB008431- COSB008433]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
27			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
28		<u> </u>	102, 102 11010, 41100,	

1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			Wasting time; Undue	
6			delay; Misleading/confusing	
7			of issues; Probative	
			value outweighed by	
8			prejudice; 901 – authenticity and	
9			foundation has not	
10			been established.	
11	297.	COSB Rejection letter to Counsel [COSB008434- COSB008435]	Plaintiffs'	
12		[COSEGOO IS 1 COSEGOO ISS]	Objections:	
13			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
14			Wasting time; Undue	
15			delay;	
16			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
17			value outweighed by	
			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
18			exception applies.	
19	298.	COSB Rejection Letter to	Plaintiffs'	
20		Counsel [COSB008436- COSB008437]	Objections :	
21			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
22			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
23			delay;	
24			Misleading/confusing	
25			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
26			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
			Hearsay for which no	
27			exception applies.	
28				

-72-

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>	Description	Objection/ Response	to
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5	299.	Article KTLA	Plaintiffs'	
		[COSB008438- COSB008456]	Objections:	
6			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
7			Wasting time; Undue	
8			delay;	
9			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
10			value outweighed by	
11			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
12			exception applies; 901	
13			authenticity and foundation has not	
14			been established.	
15	300.	Sgt. Isaiah Kee Diagram	Plaintiffs'	
16		[COSB008726]	Objections:	
17			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
18			value outweighed by	
19			prejudice; 901 – foundation not	
20			established.	
21	301.	Sgt. Robert Vaccari Diagram	Plaintiffs'	
22		[COSB008727- COSB008728]	Objections:	
23			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Probative	
24			value outweighed by	
25			prejudice; 901 – foundation not	
26			established.	
27	302.	Tort Claims 11-19-21	Plaintiffs'	
28		[COSB008729- COSB008760]	Objections:	

-73-

	T			
$1 \mid$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
4				Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
6			Wasting time; Undue	
7			delay;	
			Misleading/confusing	
8			of issues; Probative	
9			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
10			Hearsay for which no	
11			exception applies.	
12	303.	Taser History Report	Plaintiffs'	
		[COSB008772- COSB008773]	Objections:	
13			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
14			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
15			delay;	
16			Misleading/confusing	
17			of issues; Probative	
			value outweighed by prejudice.	
18	204	Article ABC7	7 0	
19	304.	[COSB008774- COSB008785]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
20			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
21			402, 403 – Relevance;	
22			Wasting time; Undue	
23			delay;	
			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
24			value outweighed by	
25			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
26			Hearsay for which no	
27			exception applies; 901 – authenticity and	
28				

-74

1	Evhibit	Description	Objection/Pagnange	Stimulation
	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			foundation has not	<u>roundation</u>
5			been established.	
6	305.	Audio – Erin Mangerino 03/11/21	Plaintiffs'	
7		[COSB009190]	Objections:	
8			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
9			Wasting time; Undue	
10			delay;	
11			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
12			value outweighed by	
13			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
14			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
15	306.	CHP Sgt dashcam	Plaintiffs'	
		CHP Sgt dashcam [COSB009192-COSB009196]	Objections:	
16			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
17			402, 403 – Wasting time; cumulative of	
18			other evidence;	
19			probative value is	
20			outweighed by prejudice.	
21	307.	Interview room videos of	Plaintiffs'	
22] 507.	Christina Barrett	Objections:	
23		[COSB009200A, COSB009201A- COSB009288]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
24			402, 403 – Relevance;	
25			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
26			Misleading/confusing	
			of issues; Probative	
27			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
28		<u> </u>	projudice, 001, 002 -	

				~
1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and Foundation
4			Hearsay for which no	
5			exception applies; 901	
6			authenticity and foundation has not	
7			been established.	
8	308.	Public Release Memorandum:	Plaintiffs'	
9		OIS 09/12/24 [COSB009299-COSB009350]	Objections :	
10		[6682603233 6682603860]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
11			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
13			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
14			value outweighed by	
15			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
16			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
	309.	FOLDER: FARO	Plaintiffs'	
17	307.	[COSB009356-COSB009371]	Objections:	
18			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
19			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
20			delay;	
21 22			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
23			value outweighed by prejudice.	
24	310.	Federal Criminal Complaint	Plaintiffs'	
25	310.	Federal Criminal Complaint 12/24/20 by Karen Stevenson [COSB009484-COSB009497]	Objections:	
26			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
27			Wasting time; Undue	
28			delay;	

				~
1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and Foundation
4			Misleading/confusing	
5			of issues; Probative	
6			value outweighed by	
7			prejudice.	
8	311.	GoFundMe: Hector J Puga [COSB009498-COSB009510]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
9			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
10			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
11			delay;	
12			Misleading/confusing	
13			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
14			prejudice.	
15	312.	Facebook Profile Hector Puga [COSB009511-COSB009557]	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
16		,		
17			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
18			Wasting time; Undue	
19			delay; Misleading/confusing	
20			of issues; Probative	
21			value outweighed by prejudice.	
22	313.	Restraining Order After Hearing (Order of Protection) Re Maria R.	Plaintiffs'	
23		Cadena 03/07/13, Case	Objections:	
24		#NQ018271 [COSB009558-COSB009577]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
25			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
26			delay;	
			Misleading/confusing	
27			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
28			varue outweighed by	

1	Ershih!4	Degamintion	Objection/ Degrange	Stimulation
$\frac{1}{2}$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			prajudica: 901 902	<u>roundation</u>
5			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
6			exception applies.	
7	314.	Request for Domestic Violence Retraining Order Re Maria R.	Plaintiffs'	
8		Cadena 01/25/13, Case	Objections:	
9		#NQ018271 [COSB009578-COSB009587]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
10			Wasting time; Undue	
11			delay; Misleading/confusing	
12			of issues; Probative	
13			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
14			Hearsay for which no	
15			exception applies.	
16	315.	LA Court – Case Summary 01/25/13 Case #:NQ018271, Case	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
17		Type: DV Prevention w/o Minor Children	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
18		[COSB009588-COSB009589]	402, 403 – Relevance;	
19			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
20			Misleading/confusing	
21			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
22			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
23			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
24	316.	LA Court – Case Summary	Plaintiffs'	
25		02/04/15 Case #:BQ047632, Case Type: DV Prevention w/o Minor Children	Objections :	
26		Children [COSB009590-COSB009593]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
27			Wasting time; Undue	
28			delay;	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			to
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			Misleading/confusing	
6			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
7			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
8			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
9	317.	I A Court - Case Summary	Plaintiffs'	
	317.	LA Court – Case Summary 08/21/14 Case #:BQ045673 Case Type: DV Prevention w/o Minor Children	Objections:	
10		Minor Children	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
11		[COSB009594-COSB009595]	402, 403 – Relevance;	
12			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
13			Misleading/confusing	
14			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
15			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
16			Hearsay for which no	
17	318.	Emergency Protective Order T	exception applies. Plaintiffs'	
18	310.	Emergency Protective Order T-1900506, Linda Rangel 01/22/19 [COSB009596]	Objections:	
19		[CO3B009390]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
20			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
21			delay;	
22			Misleading/confusing	
23			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
24			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
25			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
26	319.	DV Restraining Order, Yobana	Plaintiffs'	
27		Puga 08/21/14, Case #BQ045678 [COSB009597-COSB009613]	Objections:	
28				

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			to Authoricity
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
6			Wasting time; Undue	
7			delay; Misleading/confusing	
8			of issues; Probative	
9			value outweighed by	
10			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
11			exception applies.	
12	320.	DV Restraining Order, Yobana Puga 02/04/15, Case #BQ047632	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
13		[COSB009614-COSB009631]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
14			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
15			delay;	
16			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
17			value outweighed by	
18			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
19			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
20	321.	Coroner's Report	Plaintiffs'	
21		[AG0263-AG0287]	Objections:	
22			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
23			Wasting time; Undue	
24			delay; Misleading/confusing	
25			of issues; Probative	
26			value outweighed by	
27			prejudice; 801, 802 –	

	T		011 (1 / 7	
1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	110.			Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			Hearsay for which no	10411441011
5			exception applies.	
6 7	322.	Shooting Arrest Report [AG0241-AG0260]		
	323.	SUPB: LAPD Records	Plaintiffs'	
8		Subpoenaed re Puga N/A	Objections :	
9		1771	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
10			Wasting time; Undue	
12			delay; Misleading/confusing	
13			of issues; Probative	
14			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
15			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
16	324.	SUPB: LAPD Records	Plaintiffs'	
17		Subpoenaed re Puga: Declaration of Custodian of Records 12/17/24	Objections:	
18		N/A	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
19			Wasting time; Undue	
20			delay; Misleading/confusing	
21			of issues; Probative	
22			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
23			Hearsay for which no	
24	325.	SUPB: Los Angeles County	exception applies. Plaintiffs'	
25	323.	Sheriff's Department (211 W. Temple Subpoenaed Incarceration	Objections:	
26		Records) N/A	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
27		1 V / <i>F</i> \	402, 403 – Relevance;	
28			Wasting time; Undue	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	to
				Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			delay;	
5			Misleading/confusing	
6			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
7			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
8			Hearsay for which no	
9	226	CLIDD. I as America Country	exception applies.	
10	326.	SUPB: Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (211 W. Temple Subpoenaed Incarceration	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
11		Records)	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
12		N/A	402, 403 – Relevance;	
13			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
14			Misleading/confusing	
15			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
16			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
17			Hearsay for which no	
18	227	CLIDDA I og Angeleg County	exception applies.	
19	327.	SUPB: Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (211 W.	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
20		Temple Subpoenaed Incarceration Records)	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
21		N/A	402, 403 – Relevance;	
			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
22 23			Misleading/confusing	
			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
24			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
25			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
26	328.	SUPB: CDCR Archives	Plaintiffs'	
27	320.	Incarceration Records N/A	Objections:	
28		11/11		

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	<u>No.</u>	Description	Objection/ Response	to
3				Authenticity
				and Foundation
4			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
5			402, 403 – Relevance;	
6			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
7			Misleading/confusing	
8			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
9			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
10			Hearsay for which no	
11	220	SLIDD: Los Angeles County	exception applies.	
12	329.	SUPB: Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (211 W. Temple Subpoenaed Incarceration	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
13		Records)	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
14		Includes 2015 OIS Investigation Report from LACSD Homicide	402, 403 – Relevance;	
15		N/A	Wasting time; Undue delay;	
16			Misleading/confusing	
17			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
18			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
19			Hearsay for which no	
20	330.	SUPB: CDCR Archives	exception applies. Plaintiffs'	
21	330.	Incarceration Records N/A	Objections:	
22		11/12	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
23			402, 403 – Relevance;	
24			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
25			Misleading/confusing	
			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
26			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
27				

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	No.	Description	Objection/ Response	to
				Authenticity
3 4				and Foundation
5			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
6	331.	SUPB: East Angeles County Sheriff's Station re Incarceration	Plaintiffs'	
7		Sheriff's Station re Incarceration Records	Objections :	
8		N/A	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
9			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
10			delay;	
11			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
12			value outweighed by	
13			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
14			exception applies.	
15	332.	SUPB: LAPD Incarceration	Plaintiffs'	
16		records re Puga N/A	Objections :	
17			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
18			Wasting time; Undue	
19			delay; Misleading/confusing	
20			of issues; Probative	
21			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
22			Hearsay for which no	
23			exception applies.	
24	333.	SUPB: Long Beach Police Incarceration Records re Puga	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
25		N/A	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
26			402, 403 – Relevance;	
27			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
28			Misleading/confusing	

Q1

	T		014 / 7	
1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
4				Foundation
5			of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
6			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
7			Hearsay for which no	
			exception applies.	
8	334.	SUPB: LAPD Records to incl	Plaintiffs'	
9		audios and videos re Puga N/A	Objections :	
10			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
11			Wasting time; Undue	
12			delay;	
13			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
14			value outweighed by	
15			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
			Hearsay for which no	
16	22.5	*D C E (II)	exception applies.	
17	335.	*Defense Expert Haag's prepared illustrations, figures, screenshots, and photos (as disclosed in expert	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
18		disclosures, Ex. A) a. Figure 1a – aerial view	Fed. R. Evid. 701, 702	
19		looking northwest	– diagrams regarding	
20		b. Figure 1b – aerial view looking west	opinions of what can be seen on the	
21		c. Figure 2 – bullet from autopsy, fatal gunshot	videos/screenshots are	
22		wound, expanded .223 caliber left chest,	not reliable/helpful to	
23		d. Figure 3 – bullet from	the trier of fact; Fed. R. Evid. 801, 802 -	
24		autopsy, unexpanded 9mm JHP, right flank	Hearsay for which no	
25		e. Figure 4 – bullet from autopsy, unexpanded 9mm	exception applies.	
		JHP, left thigh f. Figure 5 – gunshot wound		
26		caused by ricocheted 9mm bullet GSW5		
27		g. Figure 6 – gunshot wound		
28		caused by ricocheted 9mm		

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>	=	<u> </u>	to
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5		bullet h. Figure 7a – still image CHP		
6		Sgt. Kee's vehicle, immediately prior to shots		
7		1. Figure /b – scene photo, Adam's shooting zone		
8		j. Figure 8a – still image CHP Rubalcava-Blackwood		
9		dashcam just prior to the initial shots		
10		k. Figure 8b – still image CHP Rubalcava-Blackwood		
11		dashcam suspect starts running to the NW		
12		1. Figure 8c – screenshot from CHP exhibit 3, gun		
13		m. Figure 8d – screenshot from CHP exhibit 4, Puga		
14		n. Figure 9 – CHP Officer Rubalcava .40S&W		
15		cartridge cases o. Figure 10 – Sgt. Kee and		
16		Officer Blackwood .223 cartridge cases		
17		p. Figure 11 – Deputy Jake Adams' 9mm cartridge		
18		cases q. Figure 12 – Puga, 9mm		
19		Pistol and 9mm cartridge case		
20		r. Figure 13 – Recovered 9mm PMF pistol AG01036		
21		and Haag-FSSI Photo January 16, 2024		
22		s. Figure 14 – profile view of cartridges		
23		t. Figure 15 – Haag FSSI Photo, 13 live 9mm		
24		cartridges Puga's right pants pocket		
25		u. Figure 16 – Haag FSSI Photo, 3 fired 9mm		
26		cartridges from vehicle center console, left cup		
27		holder v. Figure 17 – 1 live 9mm		
28		cartridge from vehicle found under left front seat -		

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5		FMJ-RN bullet w. Figure 18 – CHP Dashcam		
6		audio of the shooting sequence		
7		Second report x. Dupl of above, with		
8		exception of additional figures:		
9		y. Figure 6 – approx. location of Puga and direction of		
10		movement z. Figure 7b – CHP		
11		Blackwood/Rubalcava Dashcam suspect starts		
12		running to the NW aa. Figure 8 – bullets recovered		
13		Suppl. report bb.Dupl of above, with		
14		exception of additional figures:		
15		cc. Figure 5 – NIBIN Worksheet		
16		dd.Figure 6 – color depth mode of cartridge cases ee. Figure 7 – 3D move of		
17		cartridge cases		
18		ff. Figure 8 – normally fired cartridge		
19		gg.Figure 9 – Squib cartridge case from scene		
20	22.5		77. 1. 1100.1	
21	336.	Defense Expert Hubb's prepared illustrations, figures, screenshots,	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> <u>Objections</u> :	
22		and photos (as disclosed in expert disclosures, Ex. B)	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
23		a. Figure 1 – Google Maps b. Figure 2 – Dashcam	402, 403 – Relevance;	
24		Blackwood and Rubalcava screenshot, COSB001464	probative value outweighed by	
25		and image COSB004313 c. Figure 3 – Overview of	prejudice as to "Figure	
26		neighborhood COSB004274 d. Figure 4 – Image	6 - screenshots form	
27		COSB0000398 and vehicle identifies	news video," "Figure 7 – screenshot from	
28		e. Figure 4 (six) – Photos of deputies and CHP	news video," "Figure	

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			to Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5		f. Figure 6 – Screenshots from news video, 151,	10 – photo of pistol and fired cartridge,"	
6		COSB006733 g. Figure 7 – Screenshot from	"Figure 14 –	
7		news video, 151, COSB006733	Postmorten tox," "Figure 15- controlled	
8		h. Figure 8 – Photos of pepper ball training	substance	
9		i. Figure 9 – Pepper ball launchers and beanbag	terminology," Fed. R. Evid. 701, 702 –	
10		shotgun, COSB000108 j. Figure 9 (sic) – Overview	diagrams regarding	
11		photo of approx. positions AG0676	opinions of what can be seen on the	
12		k. Figure 10 – Photos of pistol and fired cartridge	videos/screenshots are	
13		1. Figure 12 – 40mm less- lethal launcher and ammo	not reliable/helpful to the trier of fact; Fed.	
14		AG01025 and AG01029 m. Figure 13 – Placard 52	R. Evid. 801, 802 -	
15		n. Figure 14 – Postmortem tox COSB000849	Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
16		o. Figure 15 – Controlled substance terminology	ехсерион аррись.	
17		p. Figure 16 – Officer Safety Guidelines		
18		q. Figure 17 – Googlemaps view (Goodson)		
19		r. Figure 18 – Scene photo AG0677		
20		s. Figure 19 – Adams & Vaccari approx. location		
21		and view AG0974 t. Figure 20 – Kee and		
22		Rubacalva approx. location AG0926		
23		u. Figure 21 – Overview photo COSB000398		
24		Figure 22 – Positions of officers and deputies from dashcam at		
25		46:49		
26	337.	Defense Expert Jasons's prepared illustrations, figures, screenshots,	Plaintiffs'	
27		photos/stills, compilations, and videos/video frames (as disclosed	<u>Objections</u> :	
28				

1	Ekiki	Degovintion	Objection/Description	C4:le4:
1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	110.			Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5		in expert disclosures, Ex. C, and	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
		Ex C's addendum A with links) a. Figure 1 – still image	402, 403 – Relevance;	
6		49.416 from COSB001416 b. Figure 2 – still image	probative value	
7		50.317 from COSB001416	outweighed by prejudice as to "Figure	
8		c. Figure 3 – still image 50.784 from COSB001416	7 – image of Puga's	
9		d. Figure 4 – still image	body and pistol,"	
		52.953 from COSB001416 e. Figure 5 – still image	"Figure 8 – image of	
10		54.354 (dashcam) f. Figure 6 – still image	pistol unseated and	
11		55.889 (dashcam)	fired casing;" Fed. R. Evid. 701, 702 –	
12		g. Figure 7 – image Puga's body and pistol	opinions of what can	
13		h. Figure 8 – image pistol unseated and fired casing	be seen on the	
		(#50)	videos/screenshots are	
14		i. Figure 9 – image 53.220 (dashcam)	not reliable/helpful to the trier of fact; Fed.	
15		j. Figure 10 – image 53.387	R. Evid. 801, 802 -	
16		(dashcam) k. Figure 11 – image 53.687	Hearsay for which no	
17		(dashcam) 1. Figure 12 – image 63.297	exception applies.	
18		(cell phone)		
		m. Figure 13 – image 63.864 (cell phone)		
19		n. Addendum A – Composite of Three Videos		
20		o. Addendum A – Still images		
21		of Sgt. Kee MVARS (dashcam) video		
22		Addendum A – Still images from		
		Erin Mangerino (COSB001416 cell		
23	220	phone video)	DI + 4100 A	
24	338.	Defense Expert Garrison's materials prepared (as disclosed	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
25		in expert disclosures, Ex. G) a. NIBIN Materials	Objections:	
26		b. Puga's Ghost Pistol, ammo,	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
27		and magazine c. Photos taken to document	probative value	
		bullet in barrel (images on	1	
28		page 3/6)		

1	E 1.1.4	D	OI: 4: /D	G4: 1.4:
1	Exhibit No.	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	110.			Authenticity
3				and
4				Foundation
5		d. Photos taken to document bullet in barrel (images 1.JPG – 9.JPG)	outweighed by prejudice.	
6		1.JPG – 9.JPG)	prejudice.	
7	339.	339-400 reserved for County		
8		Defendants		
9	***	STATE DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT LIST	***	***
10	401.	Kee's Mobile Video Audio	Plaintiffs'	
11		Recording System (MVARS), Part	Objections :	
12		1 [AG0815]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
13			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time;	
14			cumulative of other	
15			evidence; probative	
16			value is outweighed by prejudice.	
	402.	Kee's MVARS, Part 2 [AG0816]	prejudice.	Plaintiffs
17 18	402.	Ree s W VARS, I alt 2 [AG0010]		stipulate to
19				authenticity and
				foundation if
20				admitted.
21	403.	Kee's MVARS, Part 3 [AG0817]	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> <u>Objections</u> :	
22			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
23			402, 403 – Wasting	
24			time; cumulative of	
25			other evidence;	
26			probative value is outweighed by	
27			prejudice.	

			T	
1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and Foundation
4	404.	Blackwood's MVARS, Part 1	Plaintiffs'	
5		[AG0811]	Objections:	
6			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
7			402, 403 – Wasting time; cumulative of	
8			other evidence;	
9			probative value is	
10			outweighed by prejudice.	
11	405.	Blackwood's MVARS, Part 2	Plaintiffs'	
12		[AG0812]	Objections:	
13			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
14			402, 403 – Wasting time; cumulative of	
15			other evidence;	
16			probative value is outweighed by	
17			prejudice.	
18	406.	Blackwood's MVARS, Part 3 [AG0813]	Plaintiffs'	
19		[AG0013]	Objections: Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
20			402, 403 – Wasting	
21			time; cumulative of	
22			other evidence; probative value is	
23			outweighed by	
24	407	Disalrayo od a MVADC De et 4	prejudice.	Dlaintiffa
25	407.	Blackwood's MVARS, Part 4 [AG0814]		Plaintiffs stipulate to
26				authenticity
27				and foundation if
				admitted.
28			<u> </u>	

0.1

$_{1}\Vert$	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>No.</u>	<u> </u>		<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5	408.	Blackwood's MVARS, Part 5	Plaintiffs'	
6		[AG01377]	Objections:	
7			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Wasting	
8			time; cumulative of other evidence;	
9			probative value is	
10			outweighed by prejudice.	
11	409.	Edward Mangerino's cellphone	Plaintiffs'	
12		footage [COSB001417 to COSB001461]	Objections:	
13		COSBOOTHOT	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
14			wasting time;	
15			cumulative of other evidence.	
16	410.	Erin Mangerino's cellphone		Plaintiffs
17		footage [COSB001416]		stipulate to authenticity
18				and
19				foundation if admitted.
20	411.	CHP Dispatch Audio [AG0451-	Plaintiffs'	damitted.
21	111.	AG0452]	Objections:	
22			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
23			402, 403 – Relevance; probative value	
24			outweighed by	
25			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
26			exception applies.	
27	L			

	E 1.11.4	D		G4* 1 4*
1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	<u> </u>			Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4	412.	OIS Incident Detail Report No.	Plaintiffs'	<u>1 oundation</u>
5	112.	210217BS00009 [AG0318-	Objections:	
6		AG0331]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
7			402, 403 – Relevance;	
8			probative value outweighed by	
9			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
10			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
11	413.	Freeway Shooting Incident Detail	Plaintiffs'	
12		Report No. 210216BS00186	Objections :	
13		[AG0332-AG0337]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
14			402, 403 – Relevance; misleading/confusing	
15			issues; undue delay;	
16			wasting time; probative value	
17			outweighed by	
18			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
19			exception applies.	
20	414.	CHP Arrest-Investigation Report	Plaintiffs'	
21		(CHP 216), No. F03885021	Objections :	
22		[AG0241 to AG0260]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
23			probative value	
24			outweighed by	
			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
25			exception applies.	
26	415.	SB County Fire Department MA22	Plaintiffs'	
27		Run Sheet for Hector Puga [AG0380-AG0381]	Objections :	
28		[

0.2

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			to Authoritioity
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
6			probative value	
7			outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
8			Hearsay for which no	
9			exception applies.	
10	416.	Puga Facebook Post, Dec. 20, 2020 [AG0423]	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> Objections:	
11		[1100123]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
12			402, 403 – Relevance;	
13			probative value outweighed by	
14			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
15			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
16	417.	Photographs AG0456-AG0465,	Plaintiffs'	
17		AG0466-AG0510, AG0531- AG0536, AG0591-AG0593,	Objections:	
18		AG0606-AG0607, AG0646,	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
19		AG0818, AG0863, AG0867, AG0870, AG0904, AG0915,	Wasting time; Undue	
20		AG0917, AG0926, AG0930,	delay; Misleading/confusing	
21		AG0954, AG0976, AG0981- AG0983, AG01032, AG01036	of issues; Probative value outweighed by	
22			prejudice; Cumulative.	
23	418.	CHP Aerial Screenshots [AG0674-		Plaintiffs
24		AG0680]		stipulate to authenticity
25				and
26				foundation if admitted.
27				admitted.
28				

 ΩA

				~
1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
4				Foundation
5	419.	Original gun found on Puga (placeholder)	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> <u>Objections</u> :	
6		(placeholder)	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
7			402, 403 – Probative	
8			value outweighed by prejudice.	
9	420.	Toxicology Report [AG0142-	Plaintiffs'	
10		AG0144]	Objections :	
11			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
12			402, 403 – Relevance – results not known to	
13			officers at the time of	
14			the incident; Probative value outweighed by	
15			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
16			Hearsay for which no exception applies; 901	
17			authenticity and	
18			foundation not yet established.	
19	401	CHD II		
20	421.	CHP Use of Force Policy v12-2020 [AG01557-AG01578]	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> <u>Objections</u> :	
21			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
22			402, 403 – Relevance; probative value	
23			outweighed by	
$\begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
25			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
	422.	Blackwood Training Records	Plaintiffs'	
26		[AG0184-AG0199]	Objections :	
27		<u> </u>		

_	T 1914	D:		G4. 1.4.
$1 \parallel$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and Foundation
4			E 1 D E 11 401	<u>Foundation</u>
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance	
6	423.	Kee's Training Records [AG0200-	Plaintiffs'	
7		AG0228]	Objections :	
8			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance	
9	424.	Rubalcava's Training Records	Plaintiffs'	
10		[AG0229-AG0240]	Objections:	
11			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
12			402, 403 – Relevance	
13	425.	Annabelle Botten's 911 Calls re:	Plaintiffs'	
14		Suspect with Gun—Redacted [COSB001364]	Objections :	
		[COSB001304]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
15			402, 403 – Relevance; Wasting time; Undue	
16			delay;	
17			Misleading/confusing	
18			of issues; Probative	
19			value outweighed by prejudice; Cumulative;	
			801, 802 – Hearsay for	
20			which no exception	
21			applies.	
22	426.	Audio recorded interview of	Plaintiffs'	
23		Annabelle Botten, conducted on February 17, 2021, at 10:11 a.m. by	Objections:	
24		Detective Hernandez of the San	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
25		Bernardino County Sheriff's	Wasting time; Undue	
26		Office—Redacted [COSB001379]	delay;	
			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
27			value outweighed by	
28		1		

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			to
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5			prejudice; Cumulative;	
6			801, 802 – Hearsay for which no exception	
7			applies.	
8	427.	Greg Meyer's Curriculum	Plaintiffs'	
9		Vitae/Resume	Objections:	
10			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
11			Probative value	
12			outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
13			Hearsay for which no	
14	100		exception applies.	
15	428.	Greg Meyer's Expert Report and Supporting Material	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
16			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
17			402, 403 – Relevance;	
18			Probative value outweighed by	
			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
19 20			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
	429.	Lucien C. Haag's Curriculum	Plaintiffs'	
21		Vitae/Resume	Objections :	
22			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
23			402, 403 – Relevance; Probative value	
24			outweighed by	
25			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
26			exception applies.	
27				

1	Exhibit	Description	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	No.			<u>to</u>
3				Authenticity and
4				Foundation
5	430.	Lucien C. Haag's Expert Report	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
6			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
7			402, 403 – Relevance; Probative value	
8			outweighed by	
9			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
10			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
11	431.	Alexander Jason's Curriculum	Plaintiffs'	
12		Vitae/Resume	Objections:	
13			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
14			Probative value	
15			outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
16			Hearsay for which no	
17			exception applies.	
18	432.	Alexander Jason's Expert Report	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
19			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
20			402, 403 – Relevance; Probative value	
21			outweighed by	
22			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
23			exception applies.	
24	433.	Kris Mohandie's Curriculum	Plaintiffs'	
25		Vitae/Resume	Objections:	
26			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
27			Probative value	
28			outweighed by	

_	T 1 11 14	D : (:		C4. 1.4.
$1 \parallel$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
4				Foundation
5			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
6			exception applies.	
7	434.	Kris Mohandie's Expert Report	Plaintiffs'	
8			Objections:	
9			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
10			Probative value	
11			outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
12			Hearsay for which no	
13			exception applies.	
14	435.	Richard Franklin Clark, Jr.'s Curriculum Vitae/Resume	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
15			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
16			402, 403 – Relevance;	
17			Probative value outweighed by	
18			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
19			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
20	436.	Richard Franklin Clark, Jr.'s Expert	Plaintiffs'	
21		Report	Objections :	
22			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
23			402, 403 – Relevance; Probative value	
			outweighed by	
24			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
25			Hearsay for which no exception applies	
26	137	Joshua Peter Visco's Curriculum		
27	437.	Vitae/Resume	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
28				

1	E 1914	D ' 4'		G4. 1.4.
1	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation to
2	<u></u>			Authenticity
3				and Foundation
4			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	<u>roundation</u>
5			402, 403 – Relevance;	
6			Probative value	
7			outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
8			Hearsay for which no	
9	420		exception applies.	
10	438.	Joshua Peter Visco's Expert Report	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
11			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
12			402, 403 – Relevance; Probative value	
13			outweighed by	
14			prejudice; 801, 802 – Hearsay for which no	
15			exception applies.	
16	439.	Birth Certificate of L.C. [Plaintiff	Plaintiffs'	
17		0153]	Objections:	
18			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
19			Probative value	
20			outweighed by prejudice.	
21	440.	Birth Certificate of I.H. [Plaintiff	Plaintiffs'	
22		0099]	Objections:	
23			Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
24			402, 403 – Relevance; Probative value	
25			outweighed by	
26			prejudice.	
27	441.	Birth Certificate of A.L. [Plaintiff 0054]	<u>Plaintiffs'</u> <u>Objections</u> :	
28		1	,	

	T 1.11.4	D		G(! 1 (!
$1 \parallel$	Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	110.			<u>to</u> Authenticity
3				and
4			E 1 D E 11 401	Foundation
5			Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
6			Probative value	
$_{7}\Vert$			outweighed by	
8			prejudice.	
	442.	September 12, 2024 Public Release	Plaintiffs'	
9		Memorandum by San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department (DR	Objections:	
10		#192101044/H#2021-024)—	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
11		Redacted	Wasting time; Undue	
12			delay;	
13			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
14			value outweighed by	
15			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
16	443.	Momorandum of Findings	1 11	
17	443.	Memorandum of Findings— Threshold Incident re Blackwood	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
18		[AG0426-AG0427]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
19			402, 403 – Relevance;	
20			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
21			Misleading/confusing	
22			of issues; Probative	
23			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
24			Hearsay for which no	
			exception applies.	
25	444.	Memorandum of Findings—	Plaintiffs'	
26		Threshold Incident re Kee	Objections:	
27		[AG0428-AG0429]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
28			402, 403 – Relevance;	

				~
1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
4			XX	Foundation
5			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
6			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
7			value outweighed by	
8			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
9			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
10	445.	Mamagandum of Findings	1 11	
11	443.	Memorandum of Findings— Threshold Incident re Rubalcava	Plaintiffs' Objections:	
12		[AG0430-AG0431]	Fed. R. Evid. 401,	
13			402, 403 – Relevance;	
			Wasting time; Undue delay;	
14			Misleading/confusing	
15			of issues; Probative	
16			value outweighed by prejudice; 801, 802 –	
17			Hearsay for which no	
18			exception applies.	
19	446.	Critical Incident Policies and	Plaintiffs'	
20		Procedures Evaluation Report— Redacted [AG0432-AG0450]	Objections:	
21		1100 132 1100 150]	Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402, 403 – Relevance;	
22			Wasting time; Undue	
23			delay;	
			Misleading/confusing of issues; Probative	
24			value outweighed by	
25			prejudice; 801, 802 –	
26			Hearsay for which no exception applies.	
27				

Case 5:22-cv-00949-KK-SHK Document 175 Filed 05/08/25 Page 104 of 104 Page ID #:4176

	ID #:4176			
1	Exhibit	<u>Description</u>	Objection/ Response	Stipulation
2	<u>No.</u>			<u>to</u> <u>Authenticity</u>
3				and
4				Foundation
5	447.	Exhibits 447-500 reserved for State Defendants		
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				